CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES JUNE 29, 2021 9:30 A.M. CITY HALL, COUNCIL CHAMBERS, VERO BEACH, FLORIDA

PRESENT: Nancy Cook, Chairman; Ken Daige, Vice Chairman; Members: Linda Hillman, Jeff Stassi and Alternate Member, Mark Mucher **Also Present:** John Turner, City Attorney and Sherri Philo, Deputy City Clerk

Excused Absence: Peter Benedict II

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. and led the Committee members and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A) June 7, 2021

Mr. Daige made a motion to approve the minutes of the June 7, 2021 Charter Review Committee meeting. Mrs. Hillman seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Sherri Philo, Deputy City Clerk, read into the record an email received from Rosemary White (attached to the original minutes).

Mr. Mucher said that he hasn't heard any promotion about today's meeting, which he felt was the reason they were not getting public input. He said that he didn't know if the City Clerk's office spoke to the Mayor about this in that the Mayor is on the radio every week and also has Coffee with the Mayor. He asked that staff work on promoting when the Committee is meeting and that they are soliciting public input.

Mr. Stassi said as a previous Parks and Recreation Director for over 20-years, he does promote Parks. He reported that while serving on the Three Corners Committee Mr. Falls reported that the City spends over \$2 million maintaining Parks. He said there is a lot of surplus property in the City and if others want to ask for a piece of that surplus property to be a Park, can the City afford to maintain it. He said that he is all for Parks and he is sure this is a nice area, but they need to consider the City's side of maintaining it and the cost that goes with it.

Mr. Mucher said at their last meeting they discussed asking the neighborhood to maintain it.

Mr. Daige said Edgewood is not a large neighborhood and it has been at risk for many years. The piece of land they are talking about is not very large and the residents of the neighborhood discussed pulling together to help with maintenance. He said they are not looking for playground equipment. He said it is listed as a Park and the City has not been mowing or maintaining it. He said there are a lot of good size Parks on the island side and on the mainland that are well maintained. He felt that whatever greenspace that is reasonable they need to protect it for future generations. It is his hope that this little piece of land in the Edgewood Subdivision can be protected so that it is not sold for future development.

The Chairman closed public comment at 9:39 a.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

4. **NEW BUSINESS**

Chairman Cook said it was her understanding that their request for an extension was not granted by the City Council (to extend their sunset date).

Mr. John Turner, City Attorney, reported that at the last City Council meeting, he advised the City Council that the Committee would probably need some additional time to come up with their recommendations given that they had a late start and the Committee was not actually formed until recently. The general consensus of the City Council was that they would review this request again at their July 20th meeting, but they wanted to see how their discussion progresses at today's meeting. He thought that the City Council felt that the Committee needed direction that they are to review the Charter, that the suggestion was that the Committee go through the Charter section by section and decide if there are things that need to be addressed in a Charter review context and not discuss extraneous matters, such as issues previously discussed by the Committee regarding removal of property off the tax rolls, the status and protection of enterprise funds, etc. He suggested to the Committee that they start going through the Charter section by section.

Chairman Cook said they probably should have had that counsel in the beginning. She said they want to do what they were asked to do and that direction is very important. She said they should review the Charter as it exists and if there are things of interest that they think should be put into the Charter she would hope that they would have a chance sometime to recommend what they think would make the Charter a better document for the future.

Mr. Turner felt that was a great approach to take and what he thinks the City Council is looking for.

Mr. Daige asked Mr. Turner to put on the record the date this Committee is to sunset.

Mr. Turner noted that he didn't have the Resolution with him, but he thought it was August 1st or September 1st.

Mr. Mucher said this Charter is like an elephant. He asked that they have an assignment of certain articles for the next meeting so they can all study them in detail rather than going through the entire Charter all at once.

Mr. Turner thought the timeframe for their meetings was two (2) hours. He suggested that they see how far they get today and then they would have an idea of the sections they would need to schedule for their next meeting, which would show the City Council that they were into the Charter review process.

Chairman Cook asked the Committee members if they want to take a few pages and review them at this time. She asked what are their thoughts.

Mr. Stassi said let's knock it out.

Mr. Daige agreed. He said they could start with Article 1, which is pretty basic.

The Committee members agreed to through the Charter, Section by Section.

Mr. Turner said most of the information is historical, as well as the general powers of the City. He said Section 1.01 is standard unless they want to adopt a form of having a Strong Mayor form or an elected Mayor form, which could be addressed under the election section. That would be the only thing that he would see that they might want to discuss. He said that he might have a suggestion regarding the general powers of the City and the construction.

Chairman Cook asked Mr. Turner if he wanted to go ahead and make his suggestions.

Mr. Mucher said under the Attorney's guidance if there is something that they shouldn't or can't change then there is no sense spending time on it.

Mr. Turner said there wouldn't be as far as the description, but if they wanted to discuss the form of government, which he doesn't remember seeing it discussed by previous Charter Review Committees, but it is something that cities do address and do change and go from a form of council in which the council elects the Mayor each year to a form where the Mayor is elected separately as a separate office holder under the charter and his or her term is usually longer than other members of the council and it is a stronger Mayor form of council. He said that he mentioned at their first Committee meeting about considering not only giving the public the option of four (4) year council terms and/or having an elected Mayor.

Chairman Cook felt that would run together. She explained that if the term on council is recommended for four (4) years then the Mayor could be Mayor for four (4) years.

Mr. Mucher said there also is the alternative of following the Ft. Pierce model, which is a hibernation of those things, having two (2) years on council and four (4) years as Mayor.

Mr. Stassi said as someone who has spent the majority of his career in government, he strongly believes that a council/manager form of government is the best and would not under any circumstances make a change to that. He said the City has a professional City Manager who has experience and knowledge in running City government. He said you wouldn't hire someone from the street to run a Fortune 400 company, you would hire the most qualified person and that is what they are getting with the City Manager.

Mr. Daige said the way the government is set up in the City of Vero Beach has been working well. He didn't see a need to change it. He would be against going with a Strong Mayor.

Mrs. Hillman agreed.

Mr. Mucher said they do have recall provisions that they might want to modify based on what occurred in Sebastian. He said if they have a Mayor or Councilmember with a term of four (4) years and they are not working out they could be removed.

Mr. Daige said to recall an elected official is no easy task. He would be interested on hearing some dialogue on how they could shorten the process where it is more doable. He doesn't want to make it extremely easy, but Sebastian went through a lot to recall their Councilmembers.

Mr. Mucher disagreed. He said that he watched what occurred in Sebastian very closely and they did have to wait six (6) months before they could begin, but after that it went very pretty smoothly.

Mr. Daige said there were time frames and it did run smoothly, but his point was that it took a lot of work.

Chairman Cook said that was an unusual circumstances and the City of Vero Beach has not seen anything like that. She felt the way it is working now is the best and can only recommend that they keep the council/manager form of government.

Mr. Mucher said having an elected Mayor would not change the council/manager form of government.

Mr. Daige said then the consensus is to keep it as is.

Mr. Mucher said that he would vote against it, but they might want to let the public decide.

Mr. Turner said the issue is what are they going to recommend to the City Council to go on the ballot for Referendum. If they are not going to recommend changes then they need to go to the next section.

Chairman Cook questioned so they would move to the next section with a vote.

Mr. Turner explained that unless someone moves to change and adopt a recommendation to the City Council, which he does not see the consensus of the Committee going in that direction, then they can drop this subject and go to the next matter.

Mr. Mucher made a motion to recommend that both and separately the elected Mayor and the four (4) year Council terms be recommended to the City Council for inclusion in the Charter Referendum.

Mr. Stassi questioned so you are asking to change to an election for the Mayor and to change their terms to four (4) years each.

Mr. Mucher said as two (2) separate issues. He would suggest that the Mayor, if elected, would be for a four (4) year term and as a separate issue the Council either as a whole or excluding the Mayor be considered for either two (2) or four (4) years.

Mr. Daige said if he is hearing it correctly, those are two (2) issues; one (1) for Mayor and one (1) for Councilmembers.

Mr. Mucher said that is correct.

Mr. Daige asked Mr. Turner with the two (2) separate issues, would they be two (2) ballot questions.

Mr. Turner said to break them up.

Mr. Daige said so it would be two (2) motions, one (1) for Mayor and one (1) for the Councilmembers.

Mr. Stassi said that was not going to change the form of government. Just the terms were changing.

Mr. Turner said the proposal would be that the Mayor would be elected as a separate office and not selected by the City Council.

Mr. Mucher added and not a Strong Mayor.

Mr. Turner said they were not changing the powers of the Mayor. It is that the Mayor would be elected. He said the first motion is going to be that the Mayor will be an elected separate office for four (4) years. He said they are going to have to set up a time for when that is to take effect.

Mr. Stassi seconded Mr. Mucher's motion.

Mr. Daige said that he likes the form of government that the City currently has. He is not in favor of having an elected Mayor for four (4) years. He likes the way it is currently set up where the City Council chooses their Mayor. If they have a Mayor for four (4) years and they have a Mayor who is not looking out for the City, it makes it difficult to have a recall. The way it is now the City Council selects the Mayor and if they have a Mayor who is stepping outside the box of what the citizenry wants the rest of the City Council will be aware of that and under their hand they can pull that Mayor out of that seat and elect another Mayor from their Council. He is not in favor of changing this.

Chairman Cook agreed.

Mr. Stassi felt that the residents should get to choose their Mayor rather than the City Council.

Mr. Turner said there is a motion and a second on the floor. He asked is there any further discussion.

Mrs. Hillman felt that the citizens should be able to elect the Mayor. She felt it was a smart move.

The Chairman called for a vote on the motion and it passed three (3) to two (2) with Mr. Mucher voting yes, Mr. Daige no, Mr. Stassi yes, Mrs. Hillman yes, and Mrs. Cook no.

Chairman Cook said the motion passed three (3) to two (2) to recommend that the Mayor be elected.

Mr. Stassi asked what about the City Council.

Mr. Turner said the second motion was for City Council positions and their terms.

Chairman Cook said that is another part of the Charter.

Mr. Turner said it is, but it might be an appropriate time to discuss it since they are talking about Elected Officials.

Chairman Cook asked the Committee members how they feel about extending the City Councilmember term to four (4) years.

Mr. Mucher said it is very expensive to run for City Council and their salaries are not high. When it comes out of your pocket then it often takes more than the first year or even two (2) salaries to break even. He said if it is not coming out of their pocket then there is the problem of big contributors and influencers. He said it is like what happened with the electric sale where the people in the unincorporated areas called

it taxation without representation. He said the people of Indian River Shores can and did vote with their wallets where they contributed to candidates.

Chairman Cook asked are you in favor of extending the Councilmember terms to four (4) years.

Mr. Mucher answered yes.

Mr. Daige said Mr. Mucher made some good points on what the City went through. The only problem with the four (4) year term is if they get someone in office that doesn't go along with what they what they talked about while on the campaign trail they will be in office longer and can do quite a bit of damage. With two (2) year terms if you see a Councilmember who is not living up to their campaign promises they have the option in a short amount of time to vote them out. The case has been made where it gives people more time to learn the job and he doesn't buy into that. He explained that when you go to a job you brief yourself with the knowledge of what you are going to need to get the job accomplished. He said in city government there are plenty of opportunities to sit in on meetings and learn the ropes before you step into a job where you are responsible for the safety of others. He is okay with the two (2) year term.

Mr. Stassi said in city government most things don't happen overnight. It sometimes takes years, such as with the Three Corners and building, designing, and moving the Waste Water Treatment Plant. If they have Councilmembers with four (4) year terms they would be able to start and see something through to the end. When you keep flipping the City Council then you have people voting on things that they really don't have any knowledge of because they were not involved in the process. He said they could attend meetings and stuff, but that is not like being there and discussing these thinks with key people. He feels that most people who run for Council do it for the betterment of the community.

Mr. Mucher felt they had the opportunity in another section of the Charter to discuss the recall provisions. He said that he was impressed with Sebastian and thought it worked well.

Mrs. Hillman said that she is in favor of extending their term. In her opinion the first year on council is really a schooling and if they extend their term their knowledge will grow as they are in office and she believes that they would see a project to the end. She is in favor of them having a four (4) year term.

Chairman Cook said that she is in favor of a four (4) year term. She felt it was a reasonable term.

Chairman Cook asked for a motion to change the term of Councilmembers from two (2) years to four (4) years.

- Mr. Mucher said that he thought he had already made that motion.
- Mr. Turner asked Mr. Mucher to make the motion again for the record.
- Mr. Mucher so moved. Mr. Stassi seconded the motion.

Chairman Cook called for a vote on the motion and it passed four (4) to one (1) with Mr. Mucher voting yes, Mr. Daige no, Mr. Stassi yes, Mrs. Hillman yes, and Mrs. Cook yes.

Chairman Cook asked is there anything else they want to discuss under Article II.

Chairman Cook reported at their last meeting they recommended an increase in salaries. She questioned how much of an increase do they want to recommend.

Mr. Stassi recommended that the City Clerk's office poll other communities of like size, population, and budget size to see what they are paying their Councilmembers.

Mr. Mucher said the City did some benchmarking before, but he did not know if Council pay was included.

Chairman Cook asked Mr. Turner if he had any input on what the average City Councilmember is paid.

Mr. Turner said that he did see a survey of that, but was not sure where it was published. He thought it might have been the Florida League of Cities.

Mr. Stassi felt that when discussing salaries the residents are going to want to see good documentation as to why they made that recommendation.

Mr. Mucher thought what the Committee discussed at previous meetings was not so much the absolute level of salaries, but whether it should be adjusted for cost of living or inflation. He said that he was thinking about whether they start a cost of living adjustment in a year, right away, or give them a little jump and then the cost of living increases. He stated that the cost of living last year was much lower and this year jumped quite a bit. He was thinking maybe doing something like giving them a jump of six 6%, 3% for an average of the last two (2) years and then go from there along with what the published cost of living is and what the regular City employees get.

Mr. Stassi felt the first course of action would be to get them in line with what is appropriate for their position based on a city of this size and budget and determine a cost of living increase as they move forward.

Mrs. Hillman reported that when the City Council discussed this at their last meeting one (1) of the Councilmembers stated, "The Charter is a simple document. Get it done. The cost of living isn't worth a nickel and a dime. Stop dragging this on and move forward." She said that she believes that they should have a cost of living increase.

Mr. Daige was in agreement with taking a look at other salaries. Going forward on the ballot, he felt if they were reasonable and use the term "cost of living" it gives the ballot question more of a chance to get it passed. He felt that in order to get a little something if they were modest with the terms he didn't see the voter turning it down. If they want to accomplish more modest pay for the Councilmembers, he is in favor. He said that he does know other cities do pay their Councilmembers a little more than what the City of Vero Beach pays. He would like to see an increase and if they are modest they will have a better chance of it passing.

Mr. Mucher said there are also cities that pay much less than the City of Vero Beach, such as the City of Sebastian and the City of Fellsmere.

Chairman Cook felt that an adjustment increase would be good with a following Consumer Price Index (CPI).

Mr. Mucher asked does the City customarily give a CPI increase or is it usually a flat 3% cost of living increase to the employees.

Ms. Cindy Lawson, Finance Director, reported that the City has not given increases based on published CPI. The City has sometimes given increases based on the ability of the budget to withstand it.

Mr. Mucher asked is it historically 3%.

Ms. Lawson said it has been 2% and 3% off and on for the last few years and there were a number of years where it was zero. She said it really has as much to do with the cost of living as it does with what the budgetary circumstances are in any given year.

Mr. Mucher asked do you have any suggestions on how to increase City Council salaries on an annual basis.

Ms. Lawson suggested that they have the Human Resources Department or the City Clerk's office look at other communities. She noted that the City Council controls a budget that is close to \$60 million a year when they include the Enterprise Funds.

Mr. Stassi felt that they should give City Councilmembers what the City employees receive.

Mr. Daige said that is reasonable and with it being reasonable they will have a better chance of it being approved by the voters.

Chairman Cook asked what is the CPI for City employees.

Ms. Lawson reported that it is done annually by fiscal year and they are in the middle of budget deliberations for the coming fiscal year that starts on October 1st so she doesn't know what it will be for the coming year. She reported that last year it was 3%.

Mr. Mucher said Martin County and Stuart are comparable to Indian River County and the City of Vero Beach and it might be easy to look up Stuart salaries to benchmark. He said they don't want to compare with the City of Sebastian, which is a bigger City with a lower budget and less responsibility.

Mr. Stassi said that is the only way to justify why they are making their decision.

Mr. Stassi made a motion to make an adjustment based off of other communities of size and budgetary size and then go at a cost of living that is equal to what the City employees of Vero Beach make year over year. Mr. Daige seconded the motion.

Mr. Mucher said they have to plug a number in there.

Mr. Turner said that he doesn't recommend that they leave it open-ended. He would recommend that they actually have a figure if they are going to have an increase. He asked the Committee if they want to table this to their next meeting, assuming there will be another meeting.

Mr. Mucher asked why wouldn't there be another meeting.

Mr. Daige said the City Council has to take a look at what they are doing today to see if they meet the City Council's approval with what they are putting on the table and that they are staying on task.

Mr. Turner said if they want to allow for an increase at the same level employees receive on a yearly basis they could adopt that now and then adopt or review what other cities do at their next meeting, or they could table this to their next meeting.

Chairman Cook asked if they could table this to their next meeting when they have information from comparable communities.

Mr. Turner said the City Clerk's office will put some figures together.

At this time, the Deputy City Clerk read back the motion.

Mr. Mucher felt the motion should be clarified just to add the annual increase concept and what they would be tabling would be the adjustment from the beginning.

Mr. Stassi agreed.

Mr. Mucher said they are two (2) separate things; do they give the City Council a raise and then an annual increase, do they just give them an annual increase or just leave it the way it is.

Chairman Cook said that she would think that the cart before the horse would be to give them the raise so that it is commence rate and then it would always be followed with the (CPI) instead of giving them the CPI on this base.

Mr. Mucher felt that the motion was confusing.

The Deputy City Clerk reread the motion.

Mr. Mucher felt they needed to separate the two (2) items or clarify the motion.

Chairman Cook suggested that they table this until they have the information to raise the base and then the CPI would be added to it and they could vote it as one (1).

Mr. Turner said they could amend the motion to clarify what is being proposed for consideration.

Mr. Mucher thought the Chairman was saying that they could put this whole thing off and do them together or they could do the annual increase first and discuss the base pay at their next meeting.

Mr. Stassi withdrew his motion. Mr. Daige said he was okay with that. The Committee members agreed.

Mr. Turner said they would put this item on their next meeting agenda.

Mr. Daige read in part Article II, Section 2.01, Composition of City Council, "There shall be a City Council of five (5) members elected at large by the electors of the City." He said over the years a lot of times the Council was mainly made up of island side residents. He said people have a tendency to look out for their

interest and the interest of their neighbors. He said some cities elect their Councilmembers from their district and he thinks the City of Vero Beach has five (5) areas. He said since they voted to extend the terms of the City Council he would like to go with having districts so the people on the mainland would have a better chance of electing someone from their area. He said there is a lot of influence on the island side where there is a lot of money put into their elections where someone from the mainland does not have as much means. He said a four (4) year term is a long time and he wouldn't want to see the Council made up mainly with island side residents. He said that he wasn't asking for a decision today, but would like the Committee members to think about it.

Mr. Mucher said the County Commission and the School Board is divided by districts and it creates a lot of confusion.

Mr. Daige said other cities have done it where they had problems where it was leaning to one (1) side most of the time so they went to districts. He said that he will stand on what he said and if they have another meeting he would like to revisit this.

Mr. Stassi agreed that it is something to think about. He reported that the City of St. Louis and the City of Alton were large geographical cities and they were broken down into wards and people were elected out of those wards. He said there are pros and cons. He explained that if you have a ward and you don't have anyone who is qualified to run in that ward because you are a small city you might get someone running for City Council that shouldn't be on council; they are running just because they need someone from that area. He liked Mr. Daige's comment about having representation from different areas; someone who is looking out for the best interest of their community. He said that the Mayor should always be elected at large.

Mr. Daige said it is something for the Committee members to think about going forward and if the Committee is granted more time he would like to revisit it.

Mrs. Hillman said having districts is something that she would have to think about.

Chairman Cook agreed. She said it is hard enough to get good qualified candidates and she would hate to think that they are not representing the entire community. She said there are going to be incidents where they may vary slightly, but basically speaking she would hope that the person who wants to run for City Council has the best interest of the entire City at heart. She agreed with Mr. Stassi that districting does not necessarily give you the best qualified candidate be on your city council. She said this City is not that big. She felt that the community was connected even though there is a river in between. She didn't know if they would enhance the community by districting.

Mr. Mucher asked under Section 2.07, Vacancies, filling of vacancies, what would happen if they elect the Mayor and then that position became vacant. He asked would the Vice Mayor automatically move up.

Mr. Turner read from the Charter, "The Vice Mayor shall act as Mayor during the absence or disability of the Mayor."

Mr. Stassi said in his experience when that happened the Mayor Pro-Tem or the Vice Mayor would make a recommendation to the City Council on who is going to fill a council position seat and they either accept that nomination or go back to the drawing board and choose someone they all agree on.

Mr. Mucher said but then they wouldn't have an elected Mayor. He said maybe the Mayor Pro-Tem could serve until the next election and then a new Mayor would be elected for the remaining of the term.

Mr. Turner said a lot of that can be flushed out by the City Council if they want to proceed in that direction.

Mr. Stassi asked under Article III, Charter Officers, does the City Council appoint the City Clerk and the City Attorney. He questioned they don't work under the City Manager, but at the pleasure of the City Council.

Mr. Turner stated that the City Council appoints all three (3) Charter Officers.

Mr. Mucher said they are now getting into areas that he has not studied in detail.

Chairman Cook asked Mr. Mucher if he wanted to wait and discuss it at their next meeting.

Mr. Mucher said they could have general discussion, but he felt they should come back and vote on it after they all have had the opportunity to study it in detail.

Chairman Cook said that she read it and she didn't find anything that she thought wasn't working well.

Mrs. Hillman said that she has not read this section yet.

Mr. Stassi said in his experience the City Clerk works under the City Manager. He said that he is not suggesting to change it.

Mr. Mucher said it has worked well here.

Mr. Daige said it has worked well. Each Charter Officer is independent and if there is a situation the City Council can correct it.

Chairman Cook agreed.

Mr. Daige went back to Section 2.09, Referendum required, where it states, "Unless specifically authorized to do so by one or more local binding referendum elections, the City of Vero Beach shall not on beaches owned by or within the municipal limits of the City of Vero Beach, directly or indirectly, expend tax dollars from whatever source (local, state, or federal) on beach restoration that involves the direct or indirect placement of sand on the beach except in the amount necessary to protect life or property during storms or other natural disaster." He said it states not to use tax dollars. He asked would it be helpful if they put in that section "tourist tax dollars."

Mr. Turner did not know if that would help. He said they are giving a lot of input and thought about what to do about the tourist tax dollar matter and the City's position on it. He would hate to make a recommendation that might interfere with that.

Chairman Cook said under Article IV – Elections, they have already discussed the terms.

Mr. Mucher said that he has not studied "recall" under this section. He read Section 4.07 – Recall, "Any member of the City Council may be removed from office by the electors of the City following the procedures for recall established by general law."

Mr. Turner explained that general law means it is under the State Statute. He asked the Committee members if they wanted him to give them a briefing or send them a memorandum at their next meeting on what the State Statute allows under recalls. The City's Charters states they are allowed a recall, which is the main thing. He felt it was sufficient.

Mr. Daige suggested that they look at the City of Sebastian and the City of Ft. Pierce's Charter to see what it states under recall so they can compare them. He asked the Deputy City Clerk to send the Committee members the information. He was in agreement with hearing from the City Attorney at their next meeting on how the State Statutes speaks on recall.

Chairman Cook asked the Committee members if they had any objection to Article V, General Provisions, Section 5.04 – Budget adoption.

Mr. Mucher said that he was not prepared to discuss or vote on this or on anything further in the Charter.

Mr. Daige asked should they put a paragraph together on what they accomplished today to send to the City Council to see if they would be interested in extending the Committee (extend the sunset period).

Chairman Cook said they could meet one (1) more time before the 1st of August.

Mr. Mucher said they could meet every week if they want.

Chairman Cook asked when is the next available Tuesday for them to meet.

Mr. Turner said they could meet next Tuesday.

Mr. Daige asked can they have one (1) more meeting.

Mr. Turner answered yes.

The Committee agreed to hold their next meeting on Tuesday, July 6, 2021, at 9:30 a.m.

Chairman Cook reported that they would start the meeting with reviewing Article V, General Provisions, Section 5.04, Budget adoption and then go on through the rest of the Charter.

5. OLD BUSINESS

- A) Review of Charter
- 1. Councilmembers Salaries
- 2. Councilmembers Term Limits

These items were discussed under New Business.

3. Additional Parks that need to be included in the Charter

This item was not discussed.

4. Percentage of taxable property

This item was not discussed.

5. Marina Enterprise Fund

Ms. Lawson gave a brief overview of the Marina and the Marina Enterprise Fund with the Committee members.

6. CHAIRMAN'S MATTERS

None

7. MEMBER'S MATTERS

None

8. SET NEXT MEETING DATE

The next Charter Review Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday, July 6, 2021, at 9:30 a.m.

9. ADJOURNMENT

Today's meeting adjourned at 11:32 a.m.

/sp