

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ZONE COMMITTEE (EDZC) MEETING
MONDAY, AUGUST 20, 2018 10:00 A.M.
UPSTAIRS TRAINING ROOM, CITY HALL, VERO BEACH, FLORIDA**

A G E N D A

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A) July 11, 2017

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

4. NEW BUSINESS

A) Representative from Florida Department of Transportation to discuss “Complete Streets”

5. OLD BUSINESS

- A) Update Master Plan
- B) Twin Pairs Calming

6. NEXT MEETING DATE

A) September 10, 2018 – Upstairs Training Room

7. ADJOURNMENT

This is a Public Meeting. If a person decides to appeal a decision made by the Committee with respect to any reviewable matter considered at such meeting, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and for such purpose, he or she is responsible for ensuring that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. Anyone who needs a special accommodation for this meeting may contact the City’s Americans with Disabilities (ADA) Coordinator at 978-4920 at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ZONE COMMITTEE (EDZC) MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, JULY 11, 2017 10:00 A.M.
UPSTAIRS TRAINING ROOM, CITY HALL, VERO BEACH, FLORIDA

PRESENT: Garry Rooney, Vice Chairman; Member Joseph Cataldo; Alternate Member #1 Bob DeVecchio and Alternate Member #2 Linda Moore **Also Present:** Kira Honse, Assistant City Attorney and Heather McCarty, Records Retention Specialist

Excused Absence: Charles “Mike” Williams, Terry Torres and Jessica Hawkins

1. CALL TO ORDER

Today’s meeting was called to order at 10:03 a.m.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A) May 21, 2018

The minutes were unanimously approved.

Ms. Honse gave a reminder about Government in the Sunshine and open meetings. She said she would meet with their new member for a more in-depth discussion after the meeting. She said the key thing to remember is that once appointed to the Board, you are a member of the Board, even if you haven’t attended a meeting. So they cannot be talking about the matters that come before this Board with anybody newly appointed. Another thing she stressed is that a non-member of the Board cannot poll Board members to get their opinion on things and then share that information with other Board members. She added that they are allowed to talk with City Council members or other Board members, but not to repeat that opinion to other members. If they have factual information, they can provide that to the City Clerk’s office. But they must keep their opinions to the meetings.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

4. NEW BUSINESS

A) Representative from Florida Department of Transportation to discuss “Complete Streets”

Mr. Rooney noted that there was no one from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) present.

Mrs. Suzy Feeney said that they are still in the process of hiring someone for their district.

Mr. Rooney asked that they carry that item over to their next meeting. He noted that he would not be able to attend their August meeting.

5. OLD BUSINESS

A) Update Master Plan

Mr. DelVecchio said that most of the things that they have been discussing are in their master plan. They are working on "Complete Streets", the lighting was a part of that project, and now they have outdoor seating added to that. These are the things they were trying to work on with last year's budget, but they weren't able to accomplish much until their last meeting. He said they need to try to get some of the business and property owners to come to these meetings.

Mrs. Feeney said back in March, they were supposed to do the master plan and it was supposed to be introduced to the City Council. She said they could get copies of it beforehand and see what still applies. She asked that be included with the agenda for the next meeting.

Mrs. Moore asked if they could have a copy of the master plan emailed to them before the next meeting so they could review it. Ms. Honse pointed out that the master plan is the sole job of this Board. Once that is done, they are really done with their job.

Mr. Rooney said there are two (2) issues that have brought some frustration and are crucial. One (1) is the lack of public involvement. They always talk about getting business and property owners involved and no one attends their meetings.

Mrs. Moore commented that she has never been to a meeting because she didn't know the public could attend.

Ms. Honse said they might want to give business owners an opportunity to spotlight their business. They could have them attend and speak about their business and comment about downtown. They could go to various business owners and ask if they would be willing to be a sponsor.

Mr. Rooney said at the inception, he felt there were more businesses and owners getting issues before the Board. He questioned if they could mail out notices of their meetings. He added that a few months ago they passed a motion to take something to City Council and it got taken off the agenda and wasn't heard. He said there is nobody in the seats. He said he is spending his time and questioned why others aren't. He suggested maybe it's the hours or they just don't know about it.

Mrs. Moore said that she has talked to everybody in her part of downtown and everybody is concerned with parking and lighting. She added they don't really care about anything else. Mr. Rooney questioned why they aren't here. Then City Council could see that people attended and what their concerns are.

Mrs. Moore asked if he thought that City Council doesn't think that downtown needs parking and lighting, because she is sure they know. She doesn't think there are that many complex needs of the people downtown.

Mr. Cataldo pointed out that it has been in the paper that there is not a parking problem.

Mrs. Moore said that none of the business owners think that there is not a parking problem. Mr. Rooney said but they are not here. He said when they go before City Council and give an idea, all you hear is that it's not needed. He said that no one who has a dog in the fight is there. He said if they don't care, then no one else is going to care.

Mrs. Moore said that she disagrees with him. Mr. Rooney asked then where are they. Mrs. Moore asked if they need them to go a City Council meeting when they are going to vote on something that is going to happen. She questioned if there were ten more people at this meeting, would they be getting more

accomplished. She asked aren't they supposed to be representing what the business owners want downtown so aren't they supposed to know. That is what they are here for. She said if City Council is going to vote on something that this Board presents, she could get the owners downtown to attend. She said there hasn't been a Council meeting when downtown parking was on the agenda, so why would the business owners show up. She suggested they tell City Council there is a parking problem and make some suggestions. Then when it is on the Council agenda, everyone will show up.

Mrs. Feeney said that Mrs. Moore made a very good point. She said in the past six (6) months, they have passed numerous Resolutions to go before the City Council.

Mrs. Moore said she wants to go to City Council and say they would like to improve parking in this way, they would like to spend this much money on lighting and they want to do concrete actual things and ask if they can do them.

Mr. Rooney said there is no disagreement with that until you have an article written that says there is no parking problem. Then they need evidence before the Council that they just don't have. He said there was an issue with the timing on lights and they said they needed to get a few seconds added. Then there was a video on line and an article so they felt there was not a problem.

Mrs. Moore said the only articles written on is traffic calming on Route 60, but everyone is against that so maybe they should stick a pin in that idea. Mr. Rooney asked who everyone is. Mrs. Moore said there is no possible way that traffic calming on SR 60 is going to happen before season. She said they should write the master plan and work on that later. She said there needs to be more parking and better lighting before season. She added that the entire parking lot at the Freshman Learning Center (FLC) could be used for downtown restaurant's patrons. She said no one will park there because the block between 14th Avenue and the FLC is so scary dark. She said there are over 100 spaces at the FLC that could be utilized. She said they could spend a minimal amount of money to put in a couple street lights, which could be solar and not need power, between 14th Avenue and the FLC. They could do that and then put in a couple signs that say parking with an arrow to let people know that there is parking there. She said they should go to City Council with that idea and ask if they can do that. She said it would provide immediate relief to the restaurants south of SR60 before season.

Mrs. Feeney said that she and Mr. Cataldo met with the School District and Mr. O'Connor about nine (9) months ago and made arrangements that they can now use that parking. They won't allow them to put up signs to direct people there because of the liability issue. She added that is the same reason that the County won't allow them to put up signs that there is an empty parking garage every night. They have tried to explore all of these things. She said putting lighting in is something they can do. The School Board said they could use their lot when they aren't using it and the City said they would cover it under their liability, but they would not allow signs to be put up.

Mrs. Moore said if a private business puts a sign in front of their business saying that there is parking over there, it wouldn't be the City doing it. Mrs. Feeney said the School Board is trying to be helpful to them and they need to find a way to make it work. She thinks the lighting is perfect.

Mrs. Moore agreed that maybe start with just lighting. She said there are 100 spots there. She said that north of SR60, there isn't a problem. South of SR60, they have new restaurants and a parking problem. She said the seats out number the parking spots.

Mr. Rooney said it doesn't negate the traffic calming because that is a long-term plan that just needs to always be on the agenda because there is a timeline with FDOT they have to stay on top of. He brought up the parking garage. He said no one is going to park in the parking garage to get to the restaurants even though it's there with all the lights because they are not going to cross SR60 because there is no crosswalk or something to slow the traffic down. So that's where the traffic calming comes in, so it always needs to be on the agenda. He agrees that lighting is key and that it makes it safer.

Mrs. Moore said that every business owner would show up for that meeting, including the gallery owners and Post and Vine. She added that their people park in her parking lot. She referred to some of the past minutes and some things that were said that are not facts. In the minutes, it was stated that "she referred to the empty lot on 19th and Old Dixie and said the owners are finally letting people park there." She said that is wrong. She said the lady that owns it is in her 90's and she said that they can negotiate that with her heirs after she's dead. She is not letting anyone park there. People are just doing it because they have no choice. She added that they could start towing at any moment and she has already had it done, just not recently. She said that lot is not insured to park there and it is not legal to park there. She continues in the minutes to read, "they have areas that have more parking than is needed and areas where there is not enough spaces, but all the parking in downtown is shared parking." She said she doesn't even understand that statement. The office building lot downtown between Kilted Mermaid and Fishack and American Icon is one (1) of the largest downtown and together they pay \$1,100 a month for that lot. She said that is not shared parking. It is their parking, but they don't complain because all business downtown is good for all businesses downtown. However, every single weekend, people park in their lot and walk to Post and Vine. She said they might come to them after but she doesn't know. They want everyone to succeed. But in reality, it is closer to park at the FLC if they are going to Post and Vine, but they don't. She added that on a gallery stroll in the summer when it is not raining, there is no parking in that lot after 6:00 p.m. She said that she parks illegally because she can't find parking for her business. She stated that no one has parking and reiterated that it is not shared parking or public parking.

Mr. Rooney agreed with her. He added that those are not actual quotes from a Board member.

Ms. Honse said that shared parking has a definition in the planning world and what they are doing is considered shared parking by that definition. But there is a distinction between shared parking and public parking. What they are doing is considered shared parking and that's where two or more entities come together and agree to share a parking lot. Public parking means anybody can use it at any time.

Mrs. Moore pointed out that you cannot find public parking south of SR60.

Mr. Rooney said so they would like to put something on the table for the City to get bids on lights. Then they would go to City Council and tell them what the budget is. Somebody is going to have to go to the parking lot by the FLC and see how many lights are needed. Mrs. Moore said the parking lot itself is fine. It is the block where you have to walk down a really dark street in a scary section. Mr. Rooney asked how they make this happen.

Mr. Cataldo said they should start with Mr. Monte Falls, Public Work's Director, because this is a Public Work's issue. He said lighting up the street would solve their concern. He suggested they could maybe have sandwich board signs that say parking. No one would be condoning it. And once some people are parking there, others would follow. Mrs. Moore thought that was a great idea.

Mr. Cataldo said that lighting is a major issue. He said there are two (2) types of lighting. There is aesthetics, which is what Mr. Michael Naffzinger, with East Coast Encore, was all about and creating a

magical feeling; and then there is practical, safety lighting near the parks and alleys where it is uncomfortable. That is really something that can be addressed. He said there are options, including solar, and ways to do it. He said the question is the cost and feels that Mr. Falls would be the best place to start to see where they want the lights and what the constraints are.

Mr. Rooney said they have the numbers already for some of the other parks. Mrs. Moore said she likes the idea of the solar lights because she feels the community is getting much more aware of renewable things. She felt they would only need three (3) or four (4) and that it would be a good example for the rest of the downtown.

Mrs. Feeney said part of the problem is that she worked with Mr. Ted Fletcher, and the way that the big lights come on along 14th Avenue is they turn off the solar lights. They need to find a way that is better to light up to get to the FLC as long as they weren't right on 14th Avenue. She added that the lighting plan that Mr. Naffzinger put together was to get past the problem they had with the infrastructure. If they can start to get more people willing to put the lights on top, then that would take care of that. When they talked about lighting for the first time, lighting started out as a safety issue. But when they realized there were so many infrastructure problems, such as they couldn't lay more cable under the road to be able to pop up a few more streetlights, they started to take a look at a whole lighting plan for the community because it is not just the safety issue, it is also aesthetics. Mrs. Moore agreed.

Mr. Rooney said they talked about putting lights in the park and someone said it is going to keep the squirrels up at night. He added that someone is going to object to the lights wherever they are.

Mrs. Moore said as long as they accomplish something, it would build momentum with people's participation. She was at that meeting with Mr. Naffzinger and the whole proposal and they were the first business to put it in and it is really pretty. But that was a year ago so maybe doing something would be good for the community to see.

Mr. Rooney asked if the next step would be to have Mr. Falls come to their next meeting and discuss what they are suggesting. They can provide the distance that they are concerned with so they can get a quote.

Mrs. Moore said that she would send an email to Mr. Falls outlining what they just discussed and copy the City Clerk on it.

Mr. Rooney said then they will have the numbers and the scope to put in a proposal.

Ms. Honse pointed out that being August, Mr. Falls may not be available because of vacation.

Mr. DelVecchio added they could call a Special Call meeting and work around his schedule. Ms. Honse suggested they could also reschedule their August meeting.

B) Twin Pairs Calming

Mrs. Feeney said that one of the things that Mrs. Moore touched upon that is really critical is that people are discouraged when they come here because it feels like Groundhog Day. She said it is the same thing over and over again and they don't get anything done. She said a lot of the times it is because members don't do anything between the monthly meetings and they don't do their homework. And then they have members who don't show up and need to be caught up. They put together a lighting plan and they are all

in agreement and now it's back to ground zero. Especially when they add on the Twin Pairs road calming, which is like the curse of death.

Mrs. Moore asked if when they approve the master plan, is it approved in whole, or is it piece meal. She said if they put the traffic calming and the Twin Pairs in the master plan, does City Council say there is nothing happening on the master plan because they don't like that one (1) thing, or can they pick and choose which items to support or not support.

Ms. Honse asked them to keep in mind that all this group is supposed to do and their only task is to do a master plan and recommend how to utilize funds that are accumulated because of TIF money. Their pot of money right now is small. Do they want to spend their little amount that they get each year and use it all up on a bunch of little projects, or do they want to have a big project or goal in five (5) years when they might optimistically have \$100,000. That is what they need to decide. That is what the master plan is about. On the aesthetic lighting on buildings, this Board cannot tell a business owner they have to put the lights up so talking about aesthetic lighting in here is not appropriate. Safety lighting would be more appropriate.

Mrs. Feeney said they have worked hard on the Twin Pairs road calming which has failed. Now they are getting more information because of something called "Complete Streets." She said until this Board is going to take the time to understand what Complete Streets is and how this is a game changer for their community, she said they should never use the words Twin Pairs road calming again. Complete Streets is about safety, quality of life, and economic development. Since December 17th of last year, Complete Streets is the model for the State. So when they do the Twin Pairs, that is the one that they will recommend, but it is up to the City if they want to do that and there's things that the FDOT will expect the City to have done. She said when they did the Comprehensive Plan, they took out the Twin Pairs, even though it had been in there for decades. They need to work towards being able to get that back in. After talking with Mr. Dwayne Carver who is the head of Complete Streets, he is more than willing to work with them and help them to be able to possibly get it so the road calming goes all the way to US1. He is willing to take a look at different things. He told her that they are in District 4, which is the largest, wealthiest district and if it's going to cost another \$600,000 to do the bump outs and the knuckles and everything, they can put that into their budget. But they can't guarantee it because every other City is going to want to do that. They want to see that we care about what they are doing and want to work with them. She reiterated that Mr. Carver says if you are waiting for this to get on your next 3R project, you are already too late. She said that people don't realize that Complete Streets in Florida is the National Model. The next step is having everybody on this Committee really understand what Complete Streets is.

Mrs. Moore asked Mrs. Feeney to resend her email on Complete Streets to her. Mrs. Feeney said she would and added that there is new information since then. First, they need to educate themselves and second, they need to start to educate the City Council. She said that a lot of the people that went and signed the petition last time are actually very involved and they are willing to go out and get the petitions for them on their side. They need to have a concerted effort to educate people what Complete Streets are.

Mr. Cataldo asked would FDOT make a public presentation of what their Complete Street program is and how it benefits economically and what it does and why it is what they are proposing nationwide, why it's the model. That could be the beginning of a turning point. They can stop talking about the calming of the Twin Pairs and start talking about Complete Streets and what that means.

Mrs. Feeney answered yes. She explained they had a District 4 person who was going to come talk to them, but received a promotion the day before and they have not yet filled his position. That person would not

only be able to come here and make a presentation, but he would also be able to walk the streets with them and say what they can do. In the meantime, they should be working on being able to get somebody to come here. She is trying to line up a couple speakers until they get the new representative. She wants to do something like they did at the Heritage Center and videotape it and give a copy to each of the Councilmembers.

Mr. Rooney referred to her comment about Groundhog Day. He explained at their inception, a lot of members went out in to the public to different meetings and then they learned that was inappropriate because of the Sunshine Law and so that stopped. They wanted to go out and listen and get people involved. But they are confined to this room now, which explains the change. He said they can't go out and get them and they are not here, which is a problem. He said the presentation needs to be to City Council and not to them. He said Council is the authority and this Board is not.

Mrs. Moore brought up that they would have 15 minutes to address City Council. She suggested they talk to them first and they could figure out what the most salient points are for their scenario in this town. She thought if they just talk to Council about the overall concept of Complete Streets, it might not be specifically relevant to them.

Mr. Cataldo agreed that they should start with them here. He said it would be live and they could pick their brains. They do have the ability to make recommendations. If they make that an agenda item to discuss Complete Streets, they could invite that person back to speak. Also, if a Councilmember wanted to see their whole meeting, they could look at the tape to see the real details and how it works with their downtown.

Mr. Rooney asked how do they get someone here.

Mrs. Feeney added that every City Councilmember that is against Complete Streets or road calming is because they don't understand it. She felt they should not start with the Council who can say yes or no before they have had the opportunity to share it with the community. She would have rather have someone come and do a presentation at the Heritage Center.

Mr. Cataldo noted that they could not attend that. Ms. Honse said it would be a Community meeting and they could make arrangements so that they would be able to go and advertise it as a City meeting. She said what they are talking about is not this Board. She reiterated that this Board's sole responsibility is to determine a master plan for spending the TIF money.

Mrs. Moore asked if the money for the street project is going to come from their money. Mr. Cataldo said that it could. It could be in conjunction and show good faith. He added that they would have to coordinate something like a bump out or a fountain with FDOT.

Mr. Rooney said they had two (2) goals in the beginning. Not just to raise the money, but to coordinate the groups Downtown. Part of the original inception was to also be the go to spot of all these groups that are duplicating efforts. They would have one (1) center group to go to Council. The very first year, before any collection of TIF money was done, there was already a one, three and five year plan. He brought up some of the things they talked about, such as spray painting hibiscus, Downtown kiosks, a bandshell, etc... So there are small projects and some larger ones in the master plan. They were just looking at ways to improve the downtown, which is always on their radar. He added that downtown is not just downtown and that it goes all the way to K-Mart. They need to be the conduit for the public or Main Street and others to

come in and have everyone on the same page. He said that they already have a wish list even before they had any money, even though some of those have gone by the wayside.

Mrs. Moore asked about a traffic light in front of American Icon on SR 60 eastbound. Ms. Honse said that would be an FDOT decision. Mrs. Moore said the next action they need to take is to get FDOT here.

C) Outdoor Seating

Mrs. Feeney showed pictures from Stuart to each of the members and gave out some handouts from Stuart, including their application, some costs, implementation strategies, license agreements and general information (all materials are on file in the City Clerk's office).

Mrs. Moore said she is clear on the purpose of this Board. She said she is so totally for this parking place thing and thinks it is great idea. She asked if the group is suggesting that they spend their money on it or are the businesses going to spend their money on it. Mr. Cataldo said they talked about sharing.

Ms. Honse said it was a mixture of both, where eventually the business would pay the City back through their lease payments. Mrs. Moore said so they are going to spend group monies on private patios for businesses. Ms. Honse said they cannot expend public funds for private purposes, which puts this in a tricky area and would need to be crafted.

Mrs. Moore said so the City would own all of these patios as they own the parking spaces and the businesses would lease them so the City generates revenue once the initial cost is repaid.

Mrs. Feeney talked about how the City of Stuart has been doing this. She said they are charging them approximately \$121 a month. She said included in her handouts are their contracts and how they figured things out. She talked about her handout, City of Stuart Implementation: Downtown Stuart Outdoor Seating Strategy. She said they have some of the answers. She said that Mr. DelVecchio has talked to many of the restaurants and they have four who are very interested, including Blue Agave, Southern Social, Off the Rails, and RioCoco.

Mr. Rooney asked how the non-restaurant businesses are going to respond when they give away their parking. Mrs. Feeney said right now they are only talking about four (4) parking spaces.

Mrs. Moore said there is going to be some fuss at Southern Social without additional parking being added. She thinks the other side of SR60 is great, but with Southern Social, there will be some fuss and bother. Mr. DelVecchio agreed. Mrs. Moore said there are areas where they can take away parking spaces and it is not going to really hurt anything and there are areas you cannot.

Mr. Rooney said that some of the past conversations have been trying to figure out how to bus people in through trams. He thinks they could get the City to allow it. He thinks they can get private investors to do it.

Mrs. Moore referred to the trolleys that are used for the Gallery Strolls that the Art Council pays for. She questioned why that couldn't come out of their money perhaps during the season on Fridays. She asked why couldn't they put that in their master plan.

Mr. Cataldo commented that one (1) of the problems is Downtown Fridays and how they hurt the businesses because all the parking is taken up.

Mrs. Moore thought they should add the patios to the master plan and also them renting the trolley on Downtown Friday nights.

Mr. Rooney said theoretically the only disagreement would be the owner of land who says that they don't get any benefit from that so why are they using their tax money for that. They might say that the restaurants should get together and pay for it themselves. He said they are going to get that objection. What they want is improved property values in the whole district. They don't want to finance the restaurants.

Mrs. Feeney pointed out that the galleries had to raise \$13,000 to be able to do the trolley and the City gives about \$1,000 a year to Main Street.

Mr. Rooney said they have to be prepared for someone over near US1 who asks why their money is going for that. He loves the lights, but he thinks the trolley should be a private investment. Mrs. Moore agreed and wasn't sure if the trolley would really be helpful. Mr. Rooney brought up valet parking.

Mrs. Feeney said she would get back with them next month regarding FDOT. The price is approximately \$3,000 to \$5,000 to be able to build the outdoor seating. The last thing that they voted on to send to City Council was being able to do the lighting and the parking patios. She said they need to find out if Public Works is able and willing to build them.

Ms. Honse said that they don't direct staff and they would need to get the City Council's buy-in first.

Mrs. Feeney asked if they had enough information to go before City Council.

Mr. Cataldo said they could allocate an amount of money and figure out how many restaurants want to participate. He is unclear how this is going to work because it seems like the City should build the entire operation or the tenant could pre-pay rent to help off-set the cost, but they would have to be assured that they would have that term of use. If the City builds it, they could always remove it.

Mr. Rooney said they can get that issue fast tracked to City Council pretty quick. They can then ask Mr. Falls to look into the lighting situation. Mrs. Feeney asked who would take it to Council.

Mrs. Moore asked don't they have to write the master plan because that is that their first priority. She asked that they all get a copy of the master plan. Then she will write up a draft and send it to the City Clerk and she can send it to the members so they can review and edit what she wrote and then send their comments back to the City Clerk and then they can review their first draft at their next meeting.

Ms. Honse said what they have talked about to include in their master plan is recommending the parking space seating and improved lighting. She would identify there are other places outside of the downtown district that would benefit from the improved lighting. She said the final thing would be their Complete Streets. She sees that they have two (2) things that are relatively short term and could be done within the year and another that may not cost them any money and the other will cost some, but they are finding out a budget on it. Then there is Complete Streets. She pointed out the funding for the Public Works projects is very limited. The City is trying to figure out how to make improvements on Aviation Blvd and that probably has a priority over this. That is why she says to think about how they are spending their funds. It's the immediate gratification versus long term planning.

Mr. Rooney brought up the idea again of valet parking. He asked what would the City allow if the businesses wanted to do that. He asked if that is a possibility, who should they talk to. Mrs. Moore said they could use the FLC parking lot. Ms. Honse said that is School Board property. The liability issue is the person that trips and falls in the parking lot and sues the City or the School Board. The more people they have over there, the more likely that it is going to happen. That is why the School Board doesn't want the signs saying to park there.

Mr. Rooney said if they have a license agreement for valet parking, they only have people who would be covered by worker's compensation and insurance.

Mrs. Moore pointed out that they carry the City on their insurance because they have events in the alley, so if it happens on City property that they are utilizing, they could totally sue her.

Mr. Rooney asked who would go to the School Board. Ms. Honse said that would not necessarily be a responsibility of this Board because it is private enterprises. Mrs. Moore agreed it should be the restaurants and the galleries who want to use the parking lot that go to the School Board.

Mr. Rooney said if that's the way it's going to go, then do they need the lighting if it's going to be used by the valets and not the public and questioned if they should spend the money on those lights.

Mrs. Moore answered yes, because they are going to do valet on the weekends and not the weekdays. So people are still going to have to park there on a weekday. She added that nobody realizes what a problem it's going to be because she hasn't started towing yet. But in January, she is going to start towing even though she doesn't want to. She will only do it if her customers can't park. They need to do the lighting and start training people to park there. She also added the valets running back and forth are going to need the lighting as well.

6. NEXT MEETING DATE

A) August 20, 2018 – Upstairs Training Room

Mr. Rooney said their next meeting is August 20, 2018.

Mrs. Moore said she would email Mr. Falls and copy the City Clerk on it.

5. ADJOURNMENT

Today's meeting adjourned at 10:49 a.m.

/hm