

CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD MINUTES
Wednesday, March 9, 2016 – 2:00 p.m.
City Hall, Council Chambers, Vero Beach, Florida

PRESENT: Chairman, Kirk Noonan; Vice Chairman, Frank Pizzichillo; Members: Suzanne Shell, Jeffrey McGann, Stephen McDonald, Herbert Whittall, Christopher Bryant and Alternate Member #1, Eric Price **Also Present:** City Attorney, Wayne Coment; Code Enforcement Officer, Tom Ramsey; Code Enforcement Officer, Melody Sanderson; Indian River County Licensing Inspector, David Checchi and Deputy City Clerk, Sherri Philo

1. CALL TO ORDER

Today's meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIENCE

The Chairman led the Board members and the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

The Deputy City Clerk swore in staff and all witnesses present for today's meeting en masse.

3. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

A) Adoption of Minutes – February 10, 2016

Mr. Pizzichillo made a motion to adopt the minutes of the February 10, 2016 Code Enforcement Board meeting. Mr. Bryant seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

B) Agenda Additions, Deletions and Adoption

Mr. Tom Ramsey, Code Enforcement Officer, pulled item 5-B) 1b – Betty Smith from today's agenda. He reported that the property is in compliance and the civil penalty and costs have been paid. He then pulled item 5-B) 1d – Crystal Pools/821 Dahlia LLC, from today's agenda. He reported that the property is in compliance and the civil penalty has been paid.

Ms. Melody Sanderson, Code Enforcement Officer, pulled item 5-B) 1h – Matthew Martise and item 5-B) 1i – Jeff's Tractor Service, Inc.; Jeff Falcetta; Michael Schlitt Construction; 546 Indian Lilac, LLC from today's agenda.

Mr. Wayne Coment, City Attorney, explained that the Board would need to take action on item 5-B) 1b – Betty Smith because the Board issued a Board order finding violation, to correct, and to pay costs.

Item # 5-B) 1b - CASE #15-CE-6204 / 0818T – Betty Smith

Mr. Noonan made a motion that the Board finds there was a violation, the violation has been corrected, and the civil penalties and costs have been paid. Mr. Pizzichillo seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Noonan made a motion to adopt the agenda as amended. Mr. Pizzichillo seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

4. UNLICENSED CONTRACTORS/CITATIONS

None

5. EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS

A) Citation Appeals

1. CASE #16-CE-6375 / 806M

VIOLATOR: Treasure Coast Tax Advisory Group / Jack McEnerney

VIOLATION: Sign violation

VIOLATION ADDRESS: Right-of-way and medians at Indian River Boulevard, Royal Palm Pointe, and 21st Street

Ms. Sanderson reported that the violation has been corrected as of January 31, 2016 and the civil penalty has not been paid. She reported that the violator is present for today's meeting to appeal the citation.

Mr. Coment thanked Mr. McEnerney for the pocket calendars that were placed on the dais, but explained that the Board cannot accept gifts as they serve as a Judge in Court.

Mr. John (Jack) McEnerney said that he has been sworn in. He reported that he has been in business in Vero Beach for 15 years. He reported that his business burned down just after Christmas and he has moved to a new location. He said the signs that were posted were in violation. He said that he was out of town when the signs were delivered to his new office and one of his new employees thought that he would help by posting them. He said that when he returned on Sunday he realized the signs were posted. He said that he got up early Monday morning and retrieved as many signs as he could. He said that he was previously cited for the same violation, which is why he knew not to do it again.

Ms. Sanderson submitted into the record a photograph of the sign (on file in the City Clerk's office).

Mr. McEnerney said that he was not arguing the point that there was a violation. He said that he understands the rules and is just asking for an exception in the amount of penalties. He said his office burned down, he moved his business, and has a penalty of \$1,000 all within the same year. He said that he doesn't want signs placed all over town either. He apologized on behalf of himself, his employee, and his company.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked where he was going to post the signs.

Mr. McEnerney said the signs were for an upcoming event.

Mr. Pizzichillo felt that Mr. McEnerney should be fined as he was in violation, but no where near \$1,000 as he has had some hardship in the fact that his business burned down.

Mr. Coment asked the Code Officer if there were there costs of enforcement.

Ms. Sanderson answered no.

Mr. Noonan made a motion that the Board finds there was a repeat violation, the violation has been corrected, and that the Board issues an order to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$100.00 (reducing the initial penalty from \$1,000.00 to \$100.00). Mr. Whittall seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

2. CASE #16-CE-6466 / 1834

VIOLATOR: Milos Kondrat

VIOLATION: Uninsured contractor violation; failure to apply for a building permit

VIOLATION ADDRESS: 1340 Indian Mound Trail, Vero Beach, Florida 32963

Mr. David Checchi, Indian River County Licensing Inspector, reported that on January 5, 2016, the Building Department received a complaint that siding was being put up at 1340 Indian Mound Trail and they didn't have a permit. He went to the site and observed two (2) men working. One man was operating a chop-saw and the other man was near the home and was wearing a tool belt. The men stated that they were working for K & K Trim and received wages by check on a week by week basis. Mr. Checchi reported that he observed about 50 feet of siding being removed, as well as the plywood sheeting. There were several other sections of the house that were scheduled for replacement with some of it started and some not. He reported that he posted a Stop Work Order. He reported that K & K Trim does not have Workman's Compensation and therefore are not permitted to have employees. After dialogue with the Building Department on if the scope of work needed a permit, it was decided that because of the sheeting work, a permit was required. He reported that Mr. Milos Kondrat was cited for uninsured contractor violation and failure to apply for a building permit. He reported that having employees without Workman's Comp was a clear violation, but both he and Mr. Kondrat were unaware that a permit was required for the siding work. Mr. Kondrat is present today to appeal his citation.

Mr. Milos Kondrat said that he was sworn in. He said that he is in the business of building cabinets and his neighbor asked him if he could replace some siding and trim around a window.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked Mr. Kondrat if he was licensed.

Mr. Kondrat answered yes.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked if he knew a permit was needed.

Mr. Kondrat answered no.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked Mr. Kondrat how long he has been in business.

Mr. Kondrat answered 10 years.

Mr. Checchi clarified that K & K Trim has a finished carpentry license. The scope of work under this license entails paneling, trim, cabinetry, doors, windows, and hardware. It does not include anything structural.

Mr. Noonan said then the siding work would be allowed, but not the sheeting.

Mr. Checchi said the siding work is debatable, but the sheeting work is not allowed without a permit.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked Mr. Kondrat if he was familiar with the labor laws of this Country (Mr. Kondrat is from Slovakia).

Mr. Kondrat answered yes.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked Mr. Kondrat if he was going to have Workman's Comp in the future if he employs anyone.

Mr. Kondrat said that he only does small jobs and does not need to have Workman's Comp.

Mr. Pizzichillo said if he is going to have employees then he has to have Workman's Comp.

Mr. Kondrat explained that the people were visiting him and offered to help.

Mr. Checchi said they testified that they were employees of the company.

Mr. Whittall asked Mr. Kondrat how long have they been visiting.

Mr. Kondrat said since September or October.

Mr. Bryant asked are they still here.

Mr. Kondrat answered yes.

Mr. Bryant asked are they still working for you.

Mr. Kondrat answered no.

Mr. McGann said Mr. Kondrat was cited for the violations of uninsured contractor and failure to apply for a building permit. He said it would appear that the building permit issue was questionable because even the Building Official questioned if that was appropriate.

Mr. Whittall said it was interesting that the Building Department didn't know if a building permit was needed.

Mr. Coment reminded the Board that they can find that one violation occurred, both violations occurred, or no violations occurred. But, they cannot reduce the amount charged for a violation they find exists.

Mr. McDonald said there is a gray area as to if a building permit was needed. He recommended that the Board find there was no violation of failure to apply for a building permit. He was unclear if the men were employees or just friends who were helping a friend.

Mr. Kondrat said they were friends who just wanted to help.

Mr. Noonan asked did he pay them.

Mr. Kondrat answered no.

Mr. Bryant asked Mr. Kondrat if the property owner paid him.

Mr. Kondrat answered no.

Mr. Pizzichillo made a motion that the Board finds that there was no violation of failure to apply for a building permit. Mr. McGann seconded the motion.

Mr. Noonan clarified that the motion is that the Board upholds the uninsured contractor violation, but not the violation of failure to apply for a building permit. Mr. Pizzichillo said that is his motion. The motion passed unanimously.

B) Non-Compliance / Compliance Reports

1. Request for Board Order

a. CASE #15-CE-6009 / 742T

VIOLATOR: First Choice Healthcare, LLC; Dr. Neal Abarbanell

VIOLATION: Weeds, grass, and undergrowth in excess of 12 inches; unlicensed/inoperable vehicle

VIOLATION ADDRESS: 1867 20th Avenue, Vero Beach, Florida 32960

Mr. Ramsey reported that this case previously came before the Board and the Board issued an order finding violations and to correct; imposing continuing civil penalties; and to pay

penalties and costs. He said the property is now in compliance and there is \$5,300 in accrued penalties. He reported that Dr. Abarbanell is present today to request a reduction.

Dr. Neal Abarbaell, First Choice Healthcare, said that he was present today to request a dismissal of all civil penalties. He said that he purchased an abandoned piece of property in order to open a neighborhood Family Practice Clinic. He said as soon as he purchased the property he began having issues with code enforcement. He said that he was not trying to make excuses, but he works all over the United States and is not in town that often. He said that when he received the citations in the mail he called the Code Enforcement office. He said despite if he thought he was in the right or not, he has always complied with what was asked. He said that he hired one lawn service, which did not keep up the lawn and so he fired them and hired another lawn service. He was told that he needed to put a tag on his car so he did. He said everything that he was asked to do, he did. He reported that because he is often out of town, he provided the Code Enforcement Officer with his home telephone number, his cellular phone number, his pager number, and his email address and asked that he be contacted right away if there is a code issue. He said that he feels like he is being harassed by someone in the community. He said that his building is not located in the greatest neighborhood in Vero Beach. They can walk through the neighborhood, which he did, and they will see dozens of lawns higher than his, buildings that look awful, etc. He said that he spoke with some of these people and none of them have had code issues. He did not know why someone has singled him out to the point of repeatedly calling Code Enforcement on him. He said that he fixed up the building and he is now open for business. He reported that when he purchased the property there were homeless people hanging out there, people dealing drugs, he has been robbed four times, and he still managed to fix it up. He said that while he was out of town he was served with a Code Enforcement citation, which when he returned there were hundreds of pieces of mail and he didn't see it. He said there was a Notice of Hearing at his business for a lawn violation and an outdated tag on his car. He said that he was furious when he saw this because no one even told him about the violations and then there was a hearing scheduled on them. He said that he spoke with Chief Curry and Lieutenant Cook about this and they came to his property and looked at the lawn and his vehicle. He said that they asked him to get the sticker for the car and that afternoon he went to get the sticker. He said that he attended the Code Enforcement Board meeting and was told that there was no longer an issue and he took that as he was in compliance. He said that he wanted to address the Board and was told that he couldn't because there was no longer an issue. He said the reason that he wanted to address the Board that day was because he felt that his business has been targeted by an individual in the neighborhood who is repeatedly calling Code Enforcement about the most mundane things. He said it is ridiculous. He said that before he became a Doctor he was a Firefighter. He said that he would go into large burning buildings where he couldn't walk down the hallway, electric wires hanging, etc. He said that is where there are code enforcement issues, not a person whose lawn needs to be mowed. In December, he was out of town and when he returned he found out that a Code Enforcement Board hearing was held and the Board fined him for his car having a flat tire. He said that he was not aware that was even an issue. He reported that the tires were low, not flat. He said that he went to see the Mayor about this situation and the Mayor went to his property and asked him to just put air in the tires. Dr. Abarbaell then called AAA who came out and put air in the tires. He emphasized that he has been very cooperative with Code Enforcement on these issues. He felt that he has been subject to more code enforcement

complaints on a business than most people would be. He said that he knows this because he has gone to other businesses and asked if they have had code issues about their grass and was told no. He was told who has been turning him in, but he was not going to mention names. He said it is sad when people use political knowledge and knowledge of the system to hurt other people. He said that he has a new business and he is looking at a \$5,000 fine for having low air in his tires. He asked the Board to dismiss this case. He said that he has had his windows broken, screens stolen, two air-conditioner units stolen, and got into a fist fight with someone who was dealing drugs in his parking lot who came back and dumped paint all over the parking lot. This is what he had to deal with, but he cleaned up the property and now there is a functioning medical office located there.

Mr. McDonald asked does “inoperable” vehicle mean the car has a flat tire or the car doesn’t run.

Dr. Abarbaell said the car does start. He thought “inoperable” was because the tire was low on air.

Mrs. Shell asked is having a tire low on air a violation.

Mr. Ramsey said it wasn’t one tire and they were not low, they were flat. He said the tag issue also makes it an “inoperable” vehicle.

Dr. Abarbaell said that he has pictures of the tires before he put air in them. He said they were not flat, they were low. He said the car had a tag; it was the registration that was an issue. He said the reason the car was there was because after he was robbed the third time he parked the car on the property so thieves would think someone was in the building. The car is in the carport and you cannot see it from the street. The only way you would know the car is there is if you are snooping around the property. He said that when the Code Enforcement Officer told him that he needed a sticker for the car, he went to get one and was told that he needed a license plate, which he could not get until he had the vehicle insured. He said this was his first car and has sentimental value to him. Now he is insuring an old rusted out car just so he can be in compliance with the Code.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked how much did the stolen air-conditioners cost.

Dr. Abarbaell said somewhere around \$10,000. He noted that his insurance did not cover the cost because at the time he was not open for business and didn’t have the right policy. He said that he financed those units and the new units so each month he has to write a check to pay for stolen units and the new units.

Mr. Noonan said that he remembered Dr. Abarbaell coming before the Board to speak and he was advised not to. He felt that Dr. Abarbaell did make an effort to appeal the citation in the time frame allowed.

Mr. Coment noted that the Board previously issued an order finding violations and imposing the continuing penalty. The Code Officer has stated that the violations have been corrected.

Mr. Ramsey said the violations have been corrected. He noted for the record that the tires on the vehicle were flat, not low. He reported that there have been a total of nine (9) previous cases on this property. Most of them had to do with overgrowth and two (2) of them had to do with an inoperable vehicle or expired tag. Last year Dr. Abarbaell was cited, but the citation was rescinded because it was late by the time Dr. Abarbaell received it. Now, it is one year later and they have the same problem again. He agreed that there are a lot of complaints on his property, but commercial properties tend to get more violations called on them than residential properties. He reported that he spoke with Dr. Abarbaell on several occasions and recommended that Dr. Abarbaell set up a scheduled maintenance program for the lawn, which he did. However, every month or two the property falls back out of compliance. He reported that Dr. Abarbaell has complied every time, but not always within the time limit given. He does believe Dr. Abarbaell was doing a good thing, but it has not been without a lot of problems along the way. He agreed that it is overwhelming to receive so many citations. However, if the property was mowed Dr. Abarbaell would never hear from him. He reported that he pulled the Police reports on the property and there were 16 calls for service. He agreed that in some cases having a vehicle on the property could be a deterrent on crime, but having a vehicle with four (4) flat tires might be an attraction. He said there also is a house on the property that is unsecure and needs to be removed.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked is the home occupied.

Dr. Abarbaell answered no. He said that when he purchased the property his first thought was to demolish the house. He said that he hired multiple companies to tear it down. When they finally arrived to take down the home they couldn't because the entire building was infiltrated with asbestos. He then had to spend an astronomical amount of money for a crew to come from Miami to remove the asbestos. He said after spending so much money to have this done he did not want to demolish the building. He is in the process of getting bids to have someone repair it. He noted that when he first purchased the property he contacted the Fire Department to see if they wanted to use the house for training and burn it down. He contacted the Police Department to see if they wanted to use it for SWAT training where they could shoot up the building and take it down. When the neighborhood was declared a Historic District he went to the County to request matching funds to fix the house up as historic and he was denied. He said to tear the building down now would be ridiculous because he spent so much money to get rid of the asbestos.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked how much did it cost to have the asbestos removed.

Dr. Abarbaell said it was about \$10,000.

Mr. Pizzichillo felt that Dr. Abarbaell put a lot of time and money into this property and he has improved the area. He felt the Board should show some leniency, however he agreed with the Code Officer that the violations on the vehicle should be cited.

Mr. McDonald asked Dr. Abarbaell if he was paying someone to cut the grass during the time he was in violation.

Dr. Abarbaell said when he initially purchased the property he didn't know there was a code on the length of the grass. He said that he did hire someone to take care of the lawn and sent him in the mail \$100 per month. It ended up that the person he hired was taking the money, but was not cutting the lawn. He noted that he did hire a new gentleman to cut the lawn who was doing a good job.

Mr. Coment noted that on December 9, 2015, the Board found the violation of weeds, grass, and undergrowth was corrected, the problem with the registration on the vehicle had been corrected, but the flat tires on the vehicle remained. They also found that the civil penalty in the amount of \$50 and enforcement costs in the amount of \$50 had not been paid.

Mr. Pizzichillo said that he does believe there is a violation of an inoperable vehicle. However, considering the improvement to the neighborhood, the amount of money that has been put into it, and the amount of crime he has been subject to, he would have to side with the violator.

Mr. Coment noted that the violator initially waived his right to a hearing.

Mr. Noonan said he did try to appear before the Board, but was denied.

Mr. Coment explained that a violator has 10 days from the time they receive a citation, to request a hearing. If they don't they have waived their right to a hearing and admit the violations. Based on that, the Board issued an order. He said the only question the Board has now is the amount. He noted that the Board does have to impose the \$50 enforcement cost because the City is entitled to recover that.

Dr. Abarbaell said the reason that he didn't turn in a written appeal within 10 days was because when he attended the meeting he was told there was not an issue. He said no matter how unfair he thought a lot of this has been he has complied with everything. He said that if someone had told him when he was at the meeting that he needed to fix the car, it would have been done that afternoon. He didn't start a business to become a community scoundrel and to flagrantly violate the code. He felt that a lot of this was harassment, not by the Code Officer or by the Board, but by individuals in the community.

Mr. Ramsey explained that the reason he pulled Dr. Abarbaell's case from the hearing on the day that Dr. Abarbaell appeared was because the citation was returned unsigned. Therefore, at that point as far as he knew Dr. Abarbaell did not know about it.

Mr. Noonan made a motion that the Board finds there was a violation, the property is now in compliance, and that the Board issue a Board Order for payment of the original \$50 civil penalty and the cost of enforcement in the amount of \$50 (reducing the amount owed from \$5,300 to \$100 in total). Mr. Pizzichillo seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- b. CASE #15-CE-6204 / 0818T**
VIOLATOR: Betty Smith
VIOLATION: Reroof and fascia work without a permit

VIOLATION ADDRESS: 1745 41st Avenue, Vero Beach, Florida 32960

This case was heard under Agenda Additions, Deletions and Adoption.

- c. **CASE #15-CE-6019 / 743M**
VIOLATOR: ARLP Trust – c/o Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC
VIOLATION: Failure to remove and properly dispose of tree trimming debris and waste
VIOLATION ADDRESS: 2520 20th Street, Vero Beach, Florida 32960

Ms. Sanderson reported that the above property was found in compliance on January 29, 2016. She asked the Board to find the property in compliance and to cease the continuing penalties.

An Attorney representing the investor, ARLP Trust, approached the dais. She agreed that the property is in compliance. It was her understanding that there was a significant amount of yard debris left from a previous vendor. She was present today to request a reduction in civil penalties.

Mr. Coment did not think the Board had entered a final order on this property.

Ms. Sherri Philo, Deputy City Clerk, noted that the Board order was recorded so there are costs involved. She noted that included in the backup information was the number of days the property was in non-compliance and the total amount owed through the correction date, not including costs of recording or Attorney fees.

Mr. McGann asked is it \$5,300.

Ms. Philo said the amount owed is \$5,300, not including recording fees or Attorney fees.

Mr. Coment asked was there costs of enforcement.

Ms. Philo said the Board order states that the City was not requesting costs of enforcement.

Mr. Coment reported that the costs owed to the City for recording fees and Attorney fees would be \$97.00.

Mr. McGann asked to see a photograph of the property.

Ms. Sanderson entered into the record photographs of the property (on file in the City Clerk's office).

The Attorney noted that once the fine is taken care of the property would go up for sale.

Mr. McDonald said the photograph is not real clear, but it looks like there were a couple of branches on the property.

The Attorney said it looked like there were a number of Palm fronds that were cut down and left on the property.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked is the home occupied.

The Attorney said the property is vacant and is real estate owned.

Mr. Noonan made a motion that the Board finds there was a violation, the property is now in compliance, and to issue a Board Order for payment of the initial civil penalty in the amount of \$50 and the cost of recording and Attorney fees in the amount of \$97.00 (recording and Attorney fees actually total \$96.15). Mr. Pizzichillo seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- d. **CASE #16-CE-6392 / 0906T**
VIOLATOR: 821 Dahlia, LLC – Crystal Pools
VIOLATION: Fill dirt brought onto empty lot without erosion control or permit issuance
VIOLATION ADDRESS: 821 Dahlia Lane, Vero Beach, Florida 32963

This item was pulled from today's agenda.

- e. **CASE #15-CE-6011 / 748M**
VIOLATOR: Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC
VIOLATION: Landscape debris or waste on property
VIOLATION ADDRESS: 2234 18th Avenue, Vero Beach, Florida 32960

Ms. Sanderson reported that the property was brought into compliance as of February 19, 2016.

Mr. Noonan made a motion that the Board finds there was a violation, the violation has been corrected and to issue a Board Order ceasing the continuing penalties as of February 18, 2016 (the day prior to finding the property in compliance) and to pay the initial civil penalty in the amount of \$50 along with the total accrued penalties and costs. Mr. Pizzichillo seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- f. **CASE #16-CE-6393 / 813M**
VIOLATOR: Edward J. Fialkowski, Jr.
VIOLATION: Stagnant swimming pool
VIOLATION ADDRESS: 703 Holly Road, Vero Beach, Florida 32963

Ms. Sanderson reported that this is a repeat violation. The property remains in violation and the civil penalty has not been paid. There are no costs of enforcement. She requested that the Board issues an order finding that the violation is continuing.

Mr. Pizzichillo made a motion that the Board finds there is a violation, the violation continues, and that the Board issues an order to pay the initial \$100 civil penalty, continuing penalties of \$100 per day commencing on February 23, 2016, the day after the correction date, and to correct the violation. Mr. Noonan seconded the motion.

Mr. McGann asked is Mr. Edward Fialkowski, Jr., living on this property.

Ms. Sanderson answered yes.

Mr. McGann asked does Mr. Fialkowski have any problems.

Ms. Sanderson said that she has not heard from him.

Mr. Pizzichillo asked is it possible to have a Police Officer check on him and explain the seriousness of this situation.

Mr. McDonald thought it was strange that Mr. Fialkowski lives there and is not responding.

Ms. Sanderson reported that he has been cited for this before and they had the same problem where he would not speak with them.

Mr. Pizzichillo said that he would like someone to go and speak with him.

Mr. Whittall asked has anyone ever seen him.

Ms. Sanderson said that she hasn't. She noted that vehicles are coming and going from the property.

The motion passed unanimously.

- g. CASE #16-CE-6398 / 814M**
VIOLATOR: Edward J. Fialkowski, Jr.
VIOLATION: Weeds, grass, or undergrowth at a height of more than 12 inches
VIOLATION ADDRESS: 703 Holly Road, Vero Beach, Florida 32963

Ms. Sanderson reported that this is the third time the violator has been cited for weeds, grass, or undergrowth at a height of more than 12 inches. The violation has not been corrected and the civil penalty has not been paid.

Mr. Pizzichillo made a motion that the Board finds there is a violation, the violation continues, and that the Board issues an order to pay the initial \$100 civil penalty,

continuing penalties of \$100 per day (commencing on February 23, 2016, the day after the correction date), and to correct the violation. Mr. McGann seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

- h. CASE #16-CE-6412 / 822M**
VIOLATOR: Matthew Martise
VIOLATION: Demolition done without a permit
VIOLATION ADDRESS: 2020 Delmar Avenue, Vero Beach, Florida 32960

This item was pulled from today's agenda.

- i. CASE #16-CE-6405 / 815M**
VIOLATOR: Jeff's Tractor Service, Inc. – Jeff Falcetta; Michael Schlitt Construction; 546 Indian Lilac, LLC
VIOLATION: Six Sabal Palm Trees removed without a permit
VIOLATION ADDRESS: 546 Indian Lilac Road, Vero Beach, Florida 32963

This item was pulled from today's agenda.

6. OLD BUSINESS

None

7. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

None

8. CLERK'S MATTERS

None

9. ATTORNEY'S MATTERS

None

10. CHAIRMAN'S MATTERS

None

11. MEMBER'S MATTERS

None

12. ADJOURNMENT

Today's meeting adjourned at 3:30 p.m.

/sp