

CITY OF VERO BEACH, FLORIDA
APRIL 16, 2013 6:00 P.M.
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MINUTES
CITY HALL, COUNCIL CHAMBERS, VERO BEACH, FLORIDA

1. CALL TO ORDER

A. Roll Call

Mayor Craig Fletcher, present; Vice Mayor Tracy Carroll, present; Councilmember Pilar Turner, present; Councilmember Jay Kramer, present and Councilmember Richard Winger, present **Also Present:** James O'Connor, City Manager; Wayne Coment, City Attorney and Tammy Vock, City Clerk

B. Invocation

The invocation was given by Reverend Dan Holloway of the Unity Center of Vero Beach.

C. Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Fletcher led the City Council and the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

2. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

A. Agenda Additions, Deletions, and Adoption

Mayor Fletcher removed item 2C-1) off of the agenda.

Mrs. Carroll added a few items to be discussed under City Manager's Matters. They are: giving an update on the golf course, survey results, Go-line bus hub, septic tank removal, beach restoration, and the letter they received concerning the lagoon.

Mrs. Tammy Vock, City Clerk, removed item 5-A) from the agenda "A Resolution determining that certain City-owned real property is no longer needed by the City and authorizing the City Manager to offer said real property for sale by bid or auction."

Mr. Kramer made a motion to adopt the agenda as amended. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

B. Proclamations

1. National Cleaning for a Reason Week – April 18-24, 2013

Mayor Fletcher read and presented the Proclamation.

C. Public Comment

1. Mr. David Croom will give an update on the Old Diesel Plant.

This item was pulled off of the agenda and will be heard at the May 21st City Council meeting.

2. Mr. Charlie Wilson to discuss the letter to request assistance to the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) to investigate the circumstances surrounding the events leading up to the Orlando Utilities Contract (OUC).

Mr. Charlie Wilson gave Council documents as backup material and said that he had additional items that he will be giving them. He appreciated Council's patience. He was asking Council tonight for a Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) review. He then went through a Power Point presentation (attached to the original minutes).

3. Mr. Brian Heady requests to talk about the continuation of employment of both the City Attorney and the City Manager.

Mr. Brian Heady said one thing that was repeated throughout Mr. Wilson's presentation is that the people in this community are picking up the tab and want answers. Mr. Wilson cautioned in his speech that he was not an attorney as defined by law. Mr. Heady said that there is a difference between a lawyer and an attorney, which he gave the definition. Mr. Wilson did a fine job as an attorney representing the people of this community. He said that Mr. Wilson was more polite than he (Mr. Heady) is. He referred to a letter stating that there is a document at City Hall that is dated April 21st and he could show Council a copy of the letter (referring to the OUC contract). However, he doesn't know if that means the document was actually in City Hall, but it does mean that OUC has said that it was here and OUC sent it. He said that the Clerk will testify that he gave her a hard time more than once trying to get the document. He said that before Council voted on it on April 15th he was unable to receive a copy and recalled viably that he made some statements that if he did not receive a copy he would be filing some charges in court because he was entitled to the copy. The Clerk was finally able to secure a copy of the document and it was given to him. The redacted OUC contract copy versus the unredacted copy has been a bone of contention since April 2008. He recalled that at one point former City Attorney, Charlie Vitunac, said that the Clerk would testify that the document in the file was in fact the original, he (Mr. Heady) asked the Clerk if she would testify that the document that was in her file was the document that was on the table that the Councilmembers reviewed and she said no that she could not. The testimony is that the document was removed from City Hall and the person that removed it from City Hall was not a public official because it was the consultant that the City hired. He said that the law is clear that public documents must remain in City Hall. Mayor Fletcher said a couple of meetings ago that there needs to be an investigation done because they need to know what happened. There was some discussion between the City Council and there was a consensus from Council to do this. Mayor Fletcher turned to the City Attorney and

asked him to draft a letter for his signature to FDLE to conduct an investigation. The City Attorney was given marching orders from the Mayor and no Councilmember opposed to drafting the letter. Then a week later what happened was the City Manager got involved. At the last Council meeting Mr. Guffanti asked what credentials did the City Manager and City Attorney have to be involved in this matter and no answers were given to him. But, it was determined by the City Manager and City Attorney that there was nothing to investigate. Mr. Heady noted that the presentation just given by Mr. Wilson shows that there are a lot of things to investigate. He (Mr. Heady) also wrote a book that shows there are a lot of things to investigate. He recalled that when Mr. Wilson was on Council (before he was removed) they asked the City Manager and the City Attorney at the time if there were changes made to the OUC contract and they both said that there were not. Then they recalled that there were a couple of changes, but said that they were not material and made the contract better. He felt that there needs to be an investigation done because they need an honest investigation. The City Attorney was given direct orders to draft the letter to FDLE, which he said would take about ten minutes to draft. Instead the City Manager got involved and they (City Manager and City Attorney) came back and said that they investigated it. He said that if he was on City Council and he gave a directive and it was not done, he would ask for some resignations. He said absent that he probably would do something different in a more affirmative way. Mayor Fletcher came back to the next Council meeting and reported that the City Attorney and the City Manager have determined that there is no problem. He said if that is the truth that the City Attorney and the City Manager saw no problem then the Council has a problem with their staff. Mr. Heady stated that Mr. Wilson has evidence that shows that the OUC contract was redacted by the City of Vero Beach. Mr. Heady has a copy of the DVD where Mrs. Hershey (consultant) said that the contract was redacted by OUC and OUC has said that they did not redact the contract. He recalled when they discussed some of the things that were redacted, the former City Attorney said that it was just the numbers and that is all that was redacted. The community was told that the numbers, which they could not see are electric rates lower than what FPL charges. He said that anyone that has reviewed their electric bill knows that was inaccurate. The legal logic that he has seen written is that when Council was told by the former City Attorney that this was a different contract and it could be fixed by voting for the contract now retroactively. Mr. Heady said that he would not be voting on it retroactively and there was no vote taken. Now, their legal Counsel states because there was no legal vote taken and they did not do anything that they have accepted the terms of the contract. He said if they go back and look at some of the previous meetings that they have not accepted this contract. It has been disputed prior to the first day that it went into effect and it continues in dispute today. The only thing that they can say with certainty is that OUC has delivered electricity to the City and they have paid them at a rate greater than the rate that they were told were in the redacted numbers. Mr. Heady felt that this Council should do something other than ignore Mr. Wilson. He asked if some Councilmember would make a motion that there be an FDLE investigation.

Mayor Fletcher asked if there was any Councilmember who had any questions of Mr. Heady. There were no questions and no motions were made.

Mrs. Turner stated that as Councilmembers their fiduciary responsibility was to protect the City taxpayers. At this point there is no possibility that the City will overturn or recover any of the \$20 million dollars from OUC. They have entered into that contract and are proceeding to the next step with FPL. She questioned by continuing to pursue this investigation, what would they really accomplish. She agreed that there were grievous mistakes made.

Mr. Heady commented that mistakes made are one thing, but if there were potential acts then there is something else that comes into play. So what he is hearing from Mrs. Turner is that what she thinks is that there is not a possibility for recovery. She is making a judicial judgment that some court or jury would look at this and would not be willing to let the community suffer. He said that Mr. Wilson was correct in that all they need to do is ask for an investigation and if the investigation turns up nothing then at least Council did the right thing in protecting the citizens in this community. He again asked Council to make a motion to approve having FDLE do an investigation, which would put people under oath and ask them whether or not there was a fraud here.

Mayor Fletcher told Mr. Heady that Council was not going to make a motion.

Mr. Kramer disagreed with the Mayor. He did not have a problem having an investigation done by FDLE. He is very surprised that there apparently was a three member consensus to have an FDLE investigation and now no one from the Council wants one done. He said that this was confusing.

Mayor Fletcher made it clear that the City Manager, City Attorney, and himself sat down and decided that an investigation was not needed.

Mr. Heady referred to Mr. Wilson's presentation and asked Mayor Fletcher if he had answers to some of the questions that were being asked.

Mayor Fletcher told Mr. Heady that there was not going to be an investigation done by FDLE.

Mr. Heady told Mayor Fletcher that the question was does he have answers for Mr. Wilson. Mayor Fletcher said that the statement was that it was not going to happen.

Mrs. Carroll objected to this continued badgering of the Council by a member of the public. She said the Charter states that members of the public have three minutes to make their comments. She knows that the Mayor is not enforcing the three minute rule and that is his prerogative. However, they have been meeting for over an hour and only have had two members of the public come up and speak to them. She noted that there was a room full of high school students attending the meeting to learn about the process that takes place before a City Council. The students have all left and she feels that it is unfortunate that they have been able to hear only two speakers and they have not conducted any City business yet. She requested that they move on with the meeting.

Mayor Fletcher stated that if Council wishes to invoke the three minute rule then he would need a motion.

Mr. Heady wanted to hear a motion to ask FDLE to conduct an investigation. He then asked Mayor Fletcher if he had answers for Mr. Wilson's questions (referring to Mr. Wilson's earlier presentation). Mayor Fletcher reiterated to Mr. Heady that he would not be answering his questions.

Mr. Joseph Guffanti stated that the Mayor met with two people (City Manager and City Attorney) and decided not to conduct an investigation. He said that both the City Manager and the City Attorney had no business getting involved because they have conflicts of interest. The City Manager had a long relationship with FMPA and the City Attorney was working for and with the former City Attorney. He said that neither of them have any business involved in deciding whether or not there should be an investigation. Mr. Guffanti felt that the Mayor was flawed in his thought process and was a tremendous disservice to the community. He said that Mr. Wilson made a wonderful presentation unfortunately he came to an erroneous conclusion. Mr. Guffanti felt that OUC was involved in this cover up and involved in this fraudulent contract that he believes exist between the City of Vero Beach and OUC.

Mr. Kramer told Mr. Guffanti if he has any information that there was a fraud committed by OUC then he would like to see it.

Mr. Guffanti did not know if there has been a fraud committed by OUC, which is why they need an investigation.

Mr. Kramer made a motion to have an investigation by FDLE. The motion died for lack of a second.

Mrs. Turner did not think that this was the appropriate place to make the motion. She recalled that at their last meeting, Mr. Kramer requested that in order to bring this matter back up that it be put on a future agenda by a Councilmember with proper backup material. She said now that Mr. Wilson has provided them with documentation it would be appropriate for Mr. Kramer to put it on their next meeting.

Mr. Kramer said that he just wanted to put the issue to bed and as far as he is concerned the issue is dead.

D. Adoption of Consent Agenda

- 1. Regular City Council Minutes – April 2, 2013**
- 2. Sidewalk Easement – Phillip A. Long – 3615 18th Street**
- 3. Monthly Capital Projects' Status Reports**

Mrs. Turner made a motion to adopt the consent agenda. Mr. Winger seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

3. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A) **An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, amending the City of Vero Beach Land Development Regulations, Chapter 77, Architectural Review; Providing for Conflict and Severability; Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Planning and Development Director**

Mayor Fletcher read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. Tim McGarry, Planning and Development Director, stated that since the first reading of this Ordinance staff was asked to look more into the Florida Statutes regarding this matter. He still stands by what was in the proposed Ordinance, however since this is a contentious issue throughout the State of Florida and after talking to the Chairman of the Architectural Review Commission (ARC) they agreed to some changed language to Chapter 77 (please see attached).

*Please note that letters were received from Mr. Frank Rudd, Executive Director of the Florida Engineering Society and Mr. John Carroll, President of the Indian River County Chapter of the Florida Engineering Society, which were made part of the record and are attached to the minutes.

Mrs. Carroll brought to everyone's attention in Section 2-102 the compositions of the ARC should consist of architects, engineers, or landscape architects. She did not believe that there were any engineers serving on the ARC, but there should be. Mr. McGarry agreed that the Commission was not comprised of all of the requirements according to the Code.

Mr. Winger supported the new wording that Council received from Mr. McGarry.

Mayor Fletcher asked whose signature on a set of plans, along with their seal, is needed so it can be taken to a court.

Mr. Coment explained that architects can seal plans, as well as engineers. He said that he did not have any problems moving forward with the amendment that Mr. McGarry has presented to them. He said that it makes the Ordinance a little less restrictive.

Mrs. Carroll added that the State Statutes left this unclear on purpose because there are many professionals who may be an engineer and a general contractor and have their training in structural engineering and can do pretty much everything that an architect can do. She said that whether it is an engineer or architect that places their seal on a document they are saying that they put their license on the line and that everything is safe.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 7:26 p.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

Mrs. Turner made a motion to approve the Ordinance as amended (include new language that they just received from Mr. McGarry). Mr. Winger seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

B) An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, amending Section 2-77 of the Code of the City of Vero Beach relating to Administrative Staff Approval of Documents; Providing for Conflict and Severability; Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the City Attorney

Mayor Fletcher read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. Coment reported that this is a public hearing for a few changes that they were making dealing with signing of documents by City staff. It will clarify what the procedure has been for many years and lets the public know that the review procedures are for internal use.

Mrs. Carroll asked why this Ordinance is needed.

Mr. Coment commented that it was preventive law. He said that it avoids a problem that could come up. He said that it is common in other city codes.

Mrs. Carroll wondered if this gives power to the City Manager and City Attorney that they did not have before.

Mr. Coment answered no. He said that this makes it clear that staff is not signing and approving documents for the benefit of the other party.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 7:28 p.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

Mrs. Turner asked what other cities have this particular clause in their code.

Mr. Coment did not have the names of other cities with him.

Mr. Winger made a motion to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Kramer seconded the motion and it passed 3-2 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner no, Mrs. Carroll no and Mayor Fletcher yes.

C) An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, amending Chapter 70, Subdivisions of the City of Vero Beach Land Development Regulations; Providing for Comprehensive Revisions to Subdivision Regulations; Providing for Conflict and Severability; Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Planning and Development Director

Mayor Fletcher read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. McGarry reported that the major elements of this Ordinance are to streamline the platting process.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 7:31 p.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

Mr. Kramer made a motion to approve the Ordinance. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion and it passed 4-1 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll no, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

D) A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, repealing Resolution 12-29 and Adopting a Revised Fee Schedule for Development Review Applications and Related Services; and Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Planning and Development Director

Mayor Fletcher read the Resolution by title only.

Mr. McGarry explained that this Resolution incorporates the fees for the subdivision regulations for the Ordinance that Council just passed. It helps pay for processing the application and the necessary advertising.

Mrs. Turner recalled at their last meeting that she asked to see what the County charges to compare the fees. Mr. McGarry apologized that he did not provide that information to Council, but said that the fees are very comparable.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 7:33 p.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

Mrs. Carroll made a motion to approve the Resolution. Mayor Fletcher seconded the motion and it passed 4-1 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner no, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

Mrs. Carroll brought up the letter that they received from someone in the community, which talked about Mr. McGarry's decision to waive mitigation for some specimen tree removal (letter on file in the Clerk's office). She said since they have a Tree and Beautification Commission, why aren't they in charge of tree mitigation and tree removal permits, as opposed to the Planning and Zoning Board.

Mr. McGarry explained that it was because the Planning and Zoning Board is a regulatory board and the Tree and Beautification Commission is not. He said that a quasi-judicial hearing takes place for tree mitigation. He is working with the Planning and Zoning Board to come up with some more defined criteria.

Mrs. Carroll asked Mr. McGarry if he has received any documents from the Vero Beach Art Club about using some of the space that is co-leased by the Museum of Art and the Vero Beach Art Club.

Mr. McGarry noted that he has seen preliminary plans and understood that the Art Club was going to talk to the Museum to get their approval from them to do this.

Mr. O'Connor added that he has talked to some representatives from the Museum about the proposal from the Art Club, but nothing has been submitted to the City.

Mrs. Carroll asked if the footprint of the property could handle another structure.

Mr. McGarry thought that it could. Mr. O'Connor said that they looked at the scale provided to them by the Art Club and the structure could be placed on the site, but the water retention areas would have to be relocated.

4. RESOLUTIONS FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT PUBLIC HEARING

None

5. FIRST READINGS BY TITLE FOR ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS THAT REQUIRE A FUTURE PUBLIC HEARING

- A) A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, determining that certain City-owned real property comprising 1.60 acres lying immediately West of the Florida East Coast Railroad right-of-way and South of 16th Street is no longer needed by the City and Authorizing the City Manager to offer said real property for sale by bid or auction with reserve, subject to reservation of an access easement; Providing for Conflict and Severability; and Providing for an Effective Date. - Requested by the Public Work's Director**

This item was removed from the agenda.

6. CITY CLERK'S MATTERS

Mrs. Carroll asked Mrs. Vock to read the results of the electric utility customer survey (attached to the minutes).

7. CITY MANAGER'S MATTERS

- A) Allocation of Christmas Lighting Funds**

Mr. Kramer explained that he wanted this treated similar to the way they do the July 4th event where they have private vendors. The idea here was the Main Street organization could use the money that the City allocates for Christmas decorations, in conjunction

with some in kind donations and make the downtown area much prettier during the Christmas season. He said that the Main Street organization does not plan on doing it this year, but he wanted the consensus that these organizations would be able to do this. This would also include Royal Palm Pointe and the Oceanside Business Association.

Mr. O'Connor had no objections to doing this. He met with the Main Street Board and advised them that the City makes an allocation towards their Christmas decorations in their annual budget. He had no problem with the City getting out of the Christmas decoration business and having someone else do it.

Mrs. Carroll asked Mr. O'Connor to give an update on the meeting that he attended in Washington on April 2nd.

Mr. O'Connor commented that he realizes that Council understands that there are third party issues that have to be dealt with under their sales agreement with FPL. He said that both the City and FPL have obligations that they have to represent in the sales agreement and address the parties that effect FPL's decision and they will help us with the parties that affect us. They met with some of the members of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the purpose of the meeting was to try to find out if there were any red flags in the filing that they need to address specifically. He said that it was not uncommon to do this. The biggest red flag they heard about was that they are decommissioning a generation unit and their concern was that they were monopolizing the generation capacity in the State of Florida with this. He explained to them that it was not their intent to operate that generation long-term and part of the contractual agreement is that the City wants the Plant removed from the waterfront property. He said that the filing went out last Friday afternoon with the regulatory request to FERC, which is on the City website.

Mrs. Turner questioned what was the time period given in which FERC has to respond.

Mr. O'Connor explained that they have 180 days. However, if they find something that is not correct and they send it back then the whole process of waiting 180 days starts again.

Mrs. Carroll recalled that the Recreation Commission met to discuss the potential driving range at the golf course at the Old Dodgertown site. She asked Mr. O'Connor to give an update of that meeting and the status going forward.

Mr. O'Connor reported that the Recreation Commission met and accepted the general terms of what the interested party wants to do on that golf course. There will be a lease drawn up for City Council's approval.

Mr. Winger asked Mr. O'Connor to comment on the deed restrictions for this golf course.

Mr. O'Connor recalled that the City paid a little under ten million dollars for the property and it is his understanding that they required deed restrictions be placed stating that the

land has to be used for open space, golf or some related recreational activity. He said that the only way that the City would be able to get those deed restrictions waived is to go before the County and the Dodgers themselves. They are the only two bodies that can waive those restrictions.

Mr. Winger commented that he was hoping for a greater use than a driving range, but after seeing those restrictions and the politics of the situation a driving range makes more sense to him.

Mrs. Linda Hillman thanked Mr. O'Connor and Mrs. Carroll for being at the meeting where they discussed the Go-line bus hub and standing up for the neighborhood. The neighborhood is happy with the outcome and will soon be able to have a "block" party. She said that it has been a long four years and they (the neighborhood) certainly do appreciate this.

Mr. O'Connor commented that County Commissioner Tim Zorc deserves some of the credit. He said that fortunately the different neighborhoods showed up at this meeting. The County Commission has agreed to have the bus hub at a temporary location near the Airport. The City will need to make sure that they define the word "temporary" in the agreement. The permanent location will be the same property that the City offered to the County sometime back. Mr. O'Connor hopes to have all the paperwork for Council to sign at their first meeting in May.

Mr. Winger asked if the temporary location would be for a year. Mr. O'Connor answered yes.

Mrs. Carroll also thanked Mr. Baird because he owned up to an error that he made and apologized (reference to the County handling the maintenance at the permanent location).

Mrs. Carroll referred to the letter that they received from FPL concerning the potential site on 17th Street to house the substation. The letter indicates that the site will not work for FPL. She had concerns about letting FPL utilize the entire site.

Mr. O'Connor explained that because of the configuration of the substation and having to have three poles it would make it difficult for this site to be used. Also, there is no access from the back of the property. They will continue working on this and exploring other options.

Mrs. Carroll referred to the correspondence that they received from the County saying that they chose not to reimburse expenditures for the emergency dune restorations. She referred to the slides provided to them by Mr. Jason Brown, County Budget Director. Mr. Brown states that the reason the County will not fund this is because the City was the recipient of the PEP Reef when it was done between 1993-2002 at a cost of \$3.3 million dollars. The PEP Reef covered the area specifically from the Village Spires to the Humiston Beach Park. Now, they have heard from a number of their hoteliers within that area that they had to put sand back on their beaches because of the danger the erosion

caused and the safety concerns for people staying at their hotels. Her question is does the City feel that the PEP Reefs ended their lifecycle and are not currently working.

Mr. O'Connor said that if you look at the pictures of what their beaches used to look like and what they look like today that their beaches are eroding even with the PEP Reef.

Mrs. Carroll commented that Conn Beach is outside of the area that the PEP Reef is suppose to protect and she is concerned about that and whether it is indeed still protecting the beaches.

Mr. Winger gave a report on the Beach and Shores Commission meeting that took place on Monday. He said that the County hopes to get half (\$4.8 million dollars) reimbursed and there are plans to do beach renourishment in the Sebastian area. However, there are no plans to go south. He agreed with Mr. O'Connor that after looking at pictures of the beach from the 1970's it shows that the beach was a great deal wider. He said that there is no question that the area north of the Riomar County Club in zone five is eroding and there are no plans to do anything about it. Mr. Winger noted that the County has hired a Coastal Engineering firm who gave a presentation at their last Beach and Shores Commission meeting. In the presentation the Coastal Engineering firm has said that they are going to look at the economics of replacing sand as compared to building structures. He asked the Clerk to provide the Council with a copy of the presentation.

Mrs. Carroll referred to a newspaper article where Mr. Mike Walther, Engineer for Coastal Engineering firm, states that the PEP Reef may have an adverse effect on the beaches just outside of it, which is where Conn Beach would be located.

8. CITY ATTORNEY'S MATTERS

None

9. CITY COUNCIL MATTERS

A. Old Business

1. Requests for Updates of City Manager – Councilmember Richard Winger

Mr. O'Connor reported that the transactional attorneys may be meeting with FMPA sometime next week.

Mrs. Carroll referred to the letter that they received from the County concerning the problems of the Indian River Lagoon. The County was asking the City for information regarding plans that have been made to date for Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). Mr. O'Connor said that the City will be submitting this information to the County.

Mrs. Turner added that they are still trying to augment the information that the St. John's Water Management District has. She said when they were giving their total TMDL's

they were including the City's outfalls. She said that the numbers were inadequate and they are trying to get a true picture of where they are with the Lagoons.

Mayor Fletcher made it clear that the City's Sewer Plant had no outfall.

B. New Business

10. INDIVIDUAL COUNCILMEMBERS' MATTERS

A. Mayor Craig Fletcher's Matters

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mayor Fletcher gave an update on the MPO meeting.

B. Vice Mayor Tracy Carroll's Matters

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mrs. Carroll announced that the City still has openings on some of their Commission and Boards. She asked the Clerk to give an update at their next Council meeting. She also reported that tourism continues to grow in the City and she hopes that it will continue.

A. Councilmember Pilar Turner's Matters

- 4. Correspondence**
- 5. Committee Reports**
- 6. Comments**

Mrs. Turner reported that she attended the Senior Resources presentation on the comprehensive needs of seniors in their community. She said that half the population in the County is fifty or over and is projected to grow. The assessment showed that Indian River County was very high in the personal security category and that many people don't know where to find resources for seniors. She encouraged anyone looking for any type of care for seniors to dial 211.

Mrs. Turner attended the Hibiscus Festival, Taste of Vero, the 5k walk for the Lagoon, and the Impact 100 meeting where four grants were donated to local agencies.

C. Councilmember Jay Kramer's Matters

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mr. Kramer reported that he attended the Carenet walk, Learning Alliance Banquet dinner, Main Street Vero Planning Group, the Hibiscus Festival and an Enterprise Zone Development Agency meeting.

D. Councilmember Dick Winger's Matters

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**
- 4.**

Mr. Winger commented that he attended the Hibiscus Festival.

11. ADJOURNMENT

Tonight's meeting adjourned at 8:17 p.m.

/tv