

**CITY OF VERO BEACH, FLORIDA  
NOVEMBER 13, 2012 9:30 A.M.  
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MINUTES  
CITY HALL, COUNCIL CHAMBERS, VERO BEACH, FLORIDA**

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

**A. Roll Call**

Mayor Craig Fletcher, present; Vice Mayor Tracy Carroll, present; Councilmember Pilar Turner, present; Councilmember Jay Kramer, present and Councilmember Richard Winger, present **Also Present:** James O'Connor, City Manager; Wayne Coment, City Attorney and Tammy Vock, City Clerk

**B. Invocation**

Pastor Russell Johnson of Redeemer Lutheran Church gave the invocation.

**C. Pledge of Allegiance**

Mayor Fletcher led the Council and the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

**2. PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

**A. Agenda Additions, Deletions, and Adoption**

Mr. Kramer made a motion to adopt the agenda as presented. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**B. Proclamations**

**1. Christian Heritage Week – November 18-24, 2012**

Mayor Fletcher read and presented the proclamation.

**C. Public Comment**

**1. Debra Atwell, Chairman of the Historic Preservation Commission, to give an update on the Historical Preservation Commission and the Status of the Certified Local Government (CLG) Designation**

Ms. Debra Atwell, Chairman of the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and Mrs. Anna Brady, Vice Chairman of the HPC were at today's meeting to give an update on the HPC and the status of the Certified Local Government (CLG) designation. Ms. Atwell explained that the reason for restructuring the HPC was because the Commission members needed to be all City residents in order to qualify for the CLG designation.

They have submitted the information to the State and they are now waiting for a response.

Mrs. Brady added that once the Commission receives the CLG designation then they will be able to apply for matching grants through the State. She said that raising awareness about historic preservation is the key. She commented that she was working on putting some information on the City's website and is almost ready for final approval on designating Osceola Park as a historic neighborhood.

Mrs. Carroll asked if these grants could be utilized for plaques and markers.

Mrs. Brady said that the grant money could go towards markers.

Mrs. Carroll then asked about using some of the grant money for signage to delineate these historic designated areas.

Mrs. Brady was not sure that the grant money could be used towards signage, but she would find out.

Mrs. Turner thanked both Ms. Atwell and Mrs. Brady for their passion and enthusiasm.

Mrs. Carroll added that the members that sit on this Commission are all volunteers and she appreciated the time that they devote to the City of Vero Beach.

Mrs. Carroll brought up that she would like to see a member of the Council serve on the Commission as their liaison. She said at some point she would be discussing this with the Council.

## **2. Scott Stradley, Chairman of the Utilities Commission, to discuss matters concerning the Utilities Commission**

Mr. Scott Stradley, Utilities Commission Chairman, reported that the Utilities Commission will be meeting this Thursday at the Power Plant to take a tour and observe the open turbine. The Utilities Commission meeting starts at 12:45 p.m.

Mrs. Carroll asked what will they be discussing.

Mr. Stradley said that there would be a short briefing on the Ft. Pierce/Vero Beach inner connection. He said that in December the Utilities Commission would like to have a meeting and discuss a partial sale to Florida Power and Light (FPL). He has spoke to Mr. Kramer about this before. He formally invited Mr. Kramer to attend their meeting to present the partial sale concept to the Utilities Commission. The Utilities Commission is also working on their annual report and what goals they have accomplished this year.

Mr. Kramer noted that this was the first formal request that he has received to discuss the partial sale.

Mr. Jay Burklew was at today's meeting as a member of the Airport Commission, as well as a Real Estate Agent. He brought up the proposed Real Estate Broker Policy (on the consent agenda) for the Airport. He encouraged the Airport Director to look into this. He has reviewed the policy and feels that it is a good first step. It encourages the corporate world to consider bringing their businesses to Vero Beach. He reiterated that this was the first initiative to bring growth to the Airport and produce more jobs.

Mrs. Carroll asked what is the current occupancy rate of commercial property at the Airport.

Mr. Burklew said that it was less than 30%.

Mrs. Carroll reported that the Airport is a part of the Enterprise Zone. She said that they have not had anyone in the community marketing that property. She appreciated the Airport Commission for tackling this issue and moving forward.

Mr. James O'Connor, City Manager, brought up that they may have to pay property taxes for non public use buildings, which makes the vacancy rate at the Airport even more crucial. He said that hopefully by doing these type of initiatives the City can make some progress.

Mr. Philip Kavoritic (spelling may not be correct), 1606 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue, talked about the proclamation that was read and presented this morning on Christian Heritage Week. He asked Council to rescind this proclamation and adopt another one. He felt by adopting this proclamation that they are excluding and offending a big portion of the population. He said that 25% of the population are other religions or nonreligious. This proclamation makes statements that are not factual. He said that this country is based on freedom on and from religion.

Mr. Joe Beck, 1550 NE Ocean Blvd, Stuart, Florida, spoke on behalf of Humanists of the Treasure Coast and was opposed to the proclamation proclaiming Christian Heritage Week. He referred to the proclamation and said that Thomas Jefferson was not a Christian and did not join a religion (as pointed out in the proclamation). He thought that this was sending the wrong message to the public. He did not think that the Council wanted to endorse a proclamation that was not correct.

Mr. Brian Heady commented that he heard a request to invite Mr. Kramer to a Utilities Commission meeting in December. According to Mr. Kramer this is the first formal request he has received to address the Utilities Commission. The subject he will be discussing is a partial sale. In October the City received a memo from their Transactional Attorney and it speaks to the agreements that Council has since entered into. He said that one of the agreements between the City and OUC is for transfer of 100% of the City of Vero Beach's Power Entitlement Share of the St. Lucie Project. Then there is another agreement between the City and OUC for the transfer of 100% of the City of Vero Beach's Power Entitlement Share of the Stanton and Stanton II Projects. Then there is a termination agreement that terminates "100%." He said if the City has already entered

into these agreements and the interested counter party (FPL) has said that their interest is 100% then he is confused why they are discussing a partial sale. He commented that the City has entered into these agreements and asked don't these agreements mean something. He said that they have three Councilmembers who voted in favor of these agreements, so he does not know how productive a discussion of a partial sale would be at this time. He wondered what kind of message that this sends to the City's counter party in their negotiations to sell the electric utility. He referred to the agreements and said that one of the things that the City has agreed to is a payment of \$20 million dollars. He asked if Mayor Fletcher, Vice Mayor Carroll or Councilmember Turner could tell him what the \$20 million dollars pays for.

Mrs. Turner stated that the \$20 million dollars addresses the penalty placed in the OUC contract for cancelation of the contract.

Mr. Heady said that he has an answer and would like to have it in writing. The City Manager would provide him with that information.

Mr. Heady brought up that there is a payment for \$34 million dollars and he asked Mayor Fletcher, Vice Mayor Carroll and Councilmember Turner to explain to him what that \$34 million dollars pays for.

Mayor Fletcher stated that the definitive answer should come from the City Manager.

Mr. Heady then asked if the three Councilmembers who voted yes could tell him what they think the \$34 million dollars pays for.

Mayor Fletcher stated that he was not going to paraphrase what he thinks it is for. He will allow the City Manager to definitively define what it is for.

Mr. Heady asked Mr. Fletcher if he knows what it pays for. Mayor Fletcher answered yes.

Mrs. Carroll reminded Mr. Heady that he is speaking under Public Comment and this is his opportunity to make comments to Council and not to engage them in debate or discussion on these various issues.

Mr. Heady agreed that it is Public Comment and he decides what he has to say.

Mayor Fletcher told Mr. Heady that they (himself, Vice Mayor Carroll and Councilmember Turner) decline to comment and the City Manager will provide him with an explanation.

Mr. Mark Mucher, 617 Indian Lilac Road, commented that there has been a lot of confusion about rates and savings if a full sale to FPL should go through. He said that the current residential rates are 31% higher than FPL's rates. If they go with FPL they will save 24% at their current rates. There is also a lot of confusion about whether this

Council is going to tack on another 6%, which would reduce the savings to 18%. He suggested letting the public know now if that is going to happen.

Mr. Joe Guffanti clarified some things that he has said in the past. He is in favor of selling the Power Plant. The question is what they get for it and the net results. He felt that the Power Plant should have been dismantled years ago. He said what eventually will happen is that the City will get sued by either OUC, FPL or FMPA. He said that is why the clause is in the agreement that they signed was to not have a jury decide the outcome of a lawsuit. He was opposed to forking over \$65 million dollars to OUC and FMPA. That causes him to wonder why they are paying the Transactional Attorney \$500.00 an hour. He mentioned the lawsuit that the City had with FMPA that ended up costing them \$4 million dollars. He complimented the Council for doing this agreement with FPL out in the open. He thought that the signing of the OUC contract was a fraud led by the former City Manager and former City Attorney.

Mrs. Rebecca Rickey, Director of the Heritage Center, reported on a lecture given by Donoval Rypkema, the 2012 Louise du Pont Crowninshield Award Recipient. The lecture was presented at the National Preservation Conference on November 3, 2012 in Spokane, Washington (information is on file in the City Clerk's office).

Mrs. Michelle Prisciandaro started talking about a reduction for a Code Enforcement lien. She was informed that her matter will be discussed on the agenda under City Clerk's matters.

Mrs. Linda Hillman, 2315 18<sup>th</sup> Avenue, thought by now that Mr. Charlie Wilson would have spoke about the proposed referendum. She said that Mayor Fletcher ran his campaign saying that when the final papers with FPL are on the table that he would be in favor of having a referendum. The public is interested in bringing forward a referendum on the sale of the utility and this is crucial to the City and she hoped that Council would look at the possibility of bringing forward a referendum. She was confused when Mr. Heady asked about the \$34 million dollars. She thought that everything was being "put out on the table."

Mr. Fletcher explained to Mrs. Hillman that Mr. Heady was asking for his understanding of the issue. He has asked Mr. O'Connor to provide Mr. Heady with a defined statement.

Mrs. Carroll explained the consequences that could occur if they were to misquote a statement. She said that it could create a scenario about what they signed and what they did not sign.

Mrs. Hillman requested that the City Manager also send her the information that he is going to send to Mr. Heady.

#### **D. Adoption of Consent Agenda**

##### **1. Regular City Council Minutes – October 16, 2012**

2. **Bethel Creek House of Refuge**
3. **Citrus Bowl – Quit Claim Deed to School District of Indian River County**
4. **Ready Mix Concrete Annual Supply Contract – Bid No. 330-12/JV**
5. **Proposed Real Estate Broker Policy – Airport**
6. **Law Enforcement Forfeiture Fund Purchase**

Mrs. Turner made a motion to adopt the consent agenda. Mrs. Carroll seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **3. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- A) **An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, Providing and Establishing Revisions to the Budget for the City of Vero Beach, Florida, for the period beginning October 1, 2011 and Ending September 30, 2012, by increasing the General Fund by \$3,185,429; increasing the Excise Tax Bond Sinking Fund by \$6,699,144; by Decreasing the Street Paving and Drainage Fund by \$1,999,500; by Increasing the Infrastructure and Real Estate Improvement Fund by \$3,355,504; by Decreasing the Electric Fund by \$6,324,884; by Decreasing the Electric R&R Fund by \$4,696,297; by Decreasing the Water & Sewer Fund by \$1,954,726; by Decreasing the Water and Sewer R&R Fund by \$1,486,350; by Increasing the Airport Fund by \$1,161,434; by Decreasing the Airport Construction Fund by \$3,739,239; by Increasing the Marina Fund by \$115,620; by Increasing the Solid Waste Fund by \$7,343; by Increasing the Recreation Fund by \$49,999; by Increasing the Recreation Construction Fund by \$23,762; by Increasing the Confiscated Property Fund by \$6,372; by Decreasing the Law Enforcement Education Fund by \$15,275; by Decreasing the Crestlawn Trust Fund by \$3,750; All from Revised Revenue, Expenditure and Transfer Estimates – Requested by the Finance Director**

The City Clerk read the Ordinance by title only.

Ms. Cindy Lawson, Finance Director, reported to Council that this Ordinance has passed first reading and they are having the final public hearing on the Ordinance today. At the last meeting, Council expressed some concerns about some of the finances of the Marina and asked that the Marine Commission review the budget. The Marine Commission met the next day and understands that Council would like them to be involved in the Marina budget. She said that they are meeting again tomorrow about the budget and plan to stay involved in the future and review the quarterly statements.

Mrs. Turner questioned the increase in operating salaries for different departments.

Ms. Lawson explained that was because of payouts.

Mrs. Turner also had some concerns with the decrease in the Electrical R&R Fund.

Ms. Lawson mentioned that there were still some replacement projects in the works.

Mrs. Turner expressed that the amount was decreased by \$4.6 million dollars.

Ms. Lawson said that next year's capital project fund looks to be about \$4 million dollars.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 10:30 a.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

Mr. Kramer made a motion to approve the Ordinance. Mrs. Carroll seconded the motion and it passed 4-1 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner no, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

**B) An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, amending the Text of the Land Use Element of the Vero Beach Comprehensive Plan to include Airport Land Use Compatibility Criteria; Providing for Conflict and Severability; Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Planning and Development Director**

The City Clerk read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. Tim McGarry, Planning and Development Director, reported that the text amendment adds Airport land use compatibility criteria to the objectives and policies of the Land Use Element. The City Council authorized the transmittal of the Ordinance by Resolution #2012-17 to the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity for that agency's review on August 16, 2012. The State Land Planning Agency and other State and regional agencies reviewed the proposed text amendment to the Land Use Element and did not have any comments on the amendment. He recommended approval of the Ordinance.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 10:31 a.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

Mrs. Turner made a motion to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Kramer seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

**C) Approval of Final Plat for Old Oak Lane Subdivision (#SD12-000001) – Requested by Oak Lane, LLC**

Mr. McGarry reported that this is for Council to approve the final plat for the Old Oak Lane Subdivision. He said that staff has reviewed the final plat and required supplemental documents based on the criteria in Sections 70.14 and 70.21 of the City Code. The final plat is consistent with the approved preliminary plat and the applicant has met the two conditions placed on the preliminary plat approval by providing: \*A copy of the proposed Homeowners Association documents to City staff stipulating the

entity responsible for maintaining and repairing the private drainage swales and road; and  
\* Language on the final plat binding present and future property owners to a fair financial contribution of construction of a sidewalk along Club Drive, should the City pursue such a project in the future. The City Surveyor has determined that the final plat meets the specification requirements and the applicant has provided the required approvals, certifications and other documents required by Section 70.21 (10) including the attached surety bond in lieu of completing required subdivision improvements. He recommended approval of the final plat by Council.

Mr. Joe Schulke, Schulke, Bittle & Stoddard, applicant in the case told Council that if they have any questions that he would try to answer them.

Mrs. Carroll had some questions on the setbacks. Mr. Schulke explained that the final plat does not show the setbacks, but they are 25 feet around the entire perimeter and Club Drive.

Mrs. Carroll asked if the lots would be sold alone or would they be sold with houses constructed on them. She was told that they would be sold both ways.

Mrs. Carroll asked what will be the presumed square footage of the homes.

Mr. McGarry stated that there is a minimum size, but he doesn't recall what it is.

Mr. Winger asked when demolition begins. He was told next month.

Mrs. Carroll wondered if any of the historical things on the property now would be sold to individuals who would like to purchase them. She was told that the developer will try to reutilize some of the materials in the building.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 10:46 a.m., with no one else wishing to be heard.

Mrs. Turner made a motion to approve the final plat for Old Oak Lane Subdivision. Mr. Winger seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

#### **4. RESOLUTIONS FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT PUBLIC HEARING**

- A) A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, Establishing a Special Assessment Lien for repair or replacement of sidewalk located adjacent to commercial property pursuant to Section 71.18 of the Code of the City of Vero Beach against the real property identified at Lots 1,2,3,4,5,6,15,16 and 17, Block 32, Knight and Graves Subdivision, and with the physical address of 2296 US Highway One in the City of Vero Beach, Indian River County, Florida. – Requested by the City Attorney**

The City Clerk read the Resolution by title only.

Mr. Wayne Coment, City Attorney, reported that this lien Resolution is to secure the recovery costs and expenses incurred by the City of Vero Beach for sidewalk repair at the 7-Eleven located at 2296 US Highway one.

Mayor Fletcher opened and closed the public hearing at 10:47 a.m., with no one wishing to be heard.

Mrs. Carroll noted that the lien amount was for \$500.00. She asked if there would be any additional costs.

Mr. Coment said that there would be the recording fees, preparation of the release of lien when paid and recording the release of the lien.

Mrs. Carroll asked if the owners of the property were notified.

Mrs. Vock stated that certified letters were sent out and the signed receipts were returned.

Mrs. Carroll made a motion to approve the Resolution. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

**B) A Resolution authorizing the City of Vero Beach, Florida, to enter into a Joint Participation Agreement with the State of Florida, Department of Transportation to develop an Airport Master Plan (FDOT #423952-1-94-01). – Requested by the Airport Director**

The City Clerk read the Resolution by title only.

Mr. Eric Menger, Airport Director, reported that this would be funding for a new Airport Master Plan. The Airport Master Plan will include significant community input in the Airport's future maintenance and development over the next 20 years. Total cost of the project is estimated at \$500,000. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has verbally committed to pay \$450,000 of the project cost and the remaining \$25,000 will come from Airport funds. The passage of the Resolution will allow the Mayor and City Manager to accept the grant money and then they can negotiate a contract with the consulting firm and bring that back to Council. The City hopes to start the project in the middle of 2013 and it will take about a year to complete. Mr. Menger recommended Council approve the Resolution and acceptance of the Joint Participation Agreement (JPA).

Mr. Kramer made a motion to approve the Resolution. Mr. Winger seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

**C) A Resolution authorizing the City of Vero Beach, Florida, to enter into a Joint Participation Agreement with the State of Florida, Department of Transportation to Rehabilitate Taxiway A/E (FDOT #425749-1-94-01). – Requested by the Airport Director**

The City Clerk read the Resolution by title only.

Mr. Menger reported that this Resolution and JPA is for the taxiway section that serves both the main runway and the crosswind runway. This project will rehabilitate the sections of Taxiway A and E that are experiencing significant deterioration of the asphaltic surface, including some cracking and raveling. The total cost of the project is estimated at \$700,000. The JPA is in the amount of \$35,000, which funds 5% of the total project cost. He has requested that the FAA fund \$630,000 of the project cost, however, a grant has not yet been offered. The remaining \$35,000 will come from Airport funds. No general funds will be used for this project and the project will not begin until a Federal grant is offered and the City Council approves a construction contract at a later date.

Mrs. Carroll asked Mr. Menger to let the public know where the funds come from.

Mr. Menger explained that 5% of the funds come from the revenue generated at the Airport that they receive from leasing property at the Airport.

Mrs. Carroll commented that they just passed a proposal to increase the occupancy rate of businesses at the Airport, which would in return increase the funds generated at the Airport.

Mr. Kramer made a motion to approve the Resolution. Mr. Winger seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

**D) A Resolution authorizing the City of Vero Beach, Florida, to enter into a Supplemental Joint Participation Agreement with the State of Florida, Department of Transportation to Rehabilitate and Re-designate Runway 4/22 and DBE Program (FDOT #425744-1-94-01). – Requested by the Airport Director**

The City Clerk read the Resolution by title only.

Mr. Menger reported that this project is proposed to be funded by both Federal and State grants, along with Airport revenues. On August 16, 2012, the City Council accepted the first of two Federal grants for this project. The FAA is funding this project in two fiscal years to allow for the maximum Federal participation. At the request of FAA, he will be submitting an application for the second Federal grant in early 2013. Mr. Menger explained that this was a housekeeping measure and recommended approval of the Resolution and acceptance of the Supplemental Joint Participation Agreement (SJPA).

Mr. Winger made a motion to approve the Resolution. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

**5. FIRST READINGS BY TITLE FOR ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS THAT REQUIRE A FUTURE PUBLIC HEARING**

- A) A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, adopting a Revised Schedule providing fees and charges for use of Recreation Department facilities and equipment and for participation in Recreation Programs and providing revised hours of operation; Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Recreation Director**

Mayor Fletcher read the Resolution by title only.

Mr. Rob Slezak, Recreation Director, told Council that he has brought the new fees to Council for approval.

Mr. Winger wanted to know how much more revenue will the Recreation Department generate by increasing these fees.

Mr. Slezak said that their goal was 10% so they would be looking at between \$40,000 - \$50,000.

Mr. Winger asked if there has been any change with the lighting for the Little League fields.

Mr. Slezak reported that the Recreation Commission was meeting this afternoon to discuss Little League.

Mr. Winger then asked about the costs for Memorial Island.

Mr. Slezak explained that he met with the Veterans group to try to get back some costs that the Recreation Department spends on events for the Veterans over the year and the Veterans have begun the process of taking care of those things. He said that this year they (Veterans) provided the water and brochures for the Veterans Day event.

Mr. Winger asked when the new rates would take effect.

Mr. Slezak said on January 1<sup>st</sup>.

Mrs. Turner asked what a runway fee was.

Mr. Slezak explained that the runway is located at the Community Center and it is used for fashion shows and those types of events.

Mrs. Turner wondered if they have sufficient rates for what a resident and nonresident pays.

Mr. Slezak said that would be a constant battle as the City moves forward.

Mr. Winger commented that when the County and the City Recreation Department split it cost the City about \$680,000. He understands that recreation will always be somewhat funded by the taxpayers and never self-sufficient.

Mr. O'Connor explained that this was the first step in the direction of having some of their costs recovered. He agreed that recreation would never pay for itself 100%.

Mr. Winger noticed that there were some programs not being offered anymore. He wanted to make sure that staff has looked at the different programs to make sure that the City is offering the "right" programs.

Mr. O'Connor explained that staff is trying to find out what the City can afford and what kind of cost recovery the City is getting.

Mr. Winger agreed with separating Parks and Recreation. He believes that the Recreation Department and Recreation Commission are both doing a great job. He would like to see both the Recreation Department and the Recreation Commission have a plan going forward on what the percentage of approval should be. He said that what they have is valuable, but they need to figure out how to fund it the best way that they can.

Mrs. Carroll had some concerns about the fees being raised for non-profit organizations. She recalled that at one time they discussed a vendor cost. She asked for the status on that.

Mr. Slezak explained that after talking with some of the non-profit organizations they were not in favor of charging a vendor fee, so that was removed.

Mrs. Carroll referred to page 11 of the backup material and asked how the 10% charge will be determined.

Mr. Slezak explained that it would come from sales that non-profit organizations make when charging admission to an event.

Mrs. Carroll asked what non-profit organizations are charging admission.

Mr. Slezak explained at this time there are none. This was put in the Ordinance in case it ever occurs.

Mrs. Turner asked are they covering the City's costs for supporting these non-profit events.

Mr. Slezak answered yes.

Mrs. Carroll wondered if there were any for-profit organizations charging an admission fee to get into an event. Mr. Slezak said that there was not at this time.

Mr. Slezak recalled that when they did the benchmarking study, they found out that their revenue return was 44% and they far exceeded the other cities that were involved in the benchmarking process. He said that they do evaluate every program and look at special events in trying to generate more revenue.

Mrs. Turner made a motion to hold the public hearing for this Ordinance on December 4, 2012. Mr. Winger seconded the motion. The motion passed 4-1 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll no, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

**B) An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, amending the Code of the City of Vero Beach, Chapter 34, Article II, Division 2, Relating to Burglar Alarms; by amending Section 34-48 relating to Alarm Permit Requirements; Providing for Conflict and Severability; Providing for Codification; and Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Police Chief**

The Mayor read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. David Curry, Police Chief, reported that Ordinance was similar to what the County uses. The changes in the Ordinance will allow the Police Department to better track alarms and responsible parties.

Mrs. Carroll asked what happens with an individual who has an alarm system, but doesn't use it.

Chief Curry explained that even though someone may have an alarm system and is not using it, that it is still operational. He said that the Police Department does not want to respond to an alarm call if they don't have to.

Mayor Fletcher questioned if an alarm goes off, would the property owner have to pay for the fee if they don't have a permit fee.

Chief Curry reiterated that operational means to him a system that has been installed and could be used.

Mrs. Carroll asked where in the Ordinance it talks about "premise." She was told in Section A.

The annual fee for the permit is \$30.00. An individual can go on-line and take care of paying their permit fee.

Mr. Winger made a motion to set the public hearing for this Ordinance on December 4, 2012. Mr. Kramer seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

Mr. Coment added that if an alarm is installed by an individual and not by an alarm company that an alarm permit is still required.

- C) An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, amending the Code of the City of Vero Beach, Chapter 58, Article II, Division 4, relating to the City of Vero Beach Police Officer's Retirement Fund; by amending Section 58-97 relating to Employee Contributions; amending Section 58-98 relating to the Definition of Compensation; and amending Section 58-108 relating to Credited Service; Providing for Conflict and Severability; Providing for Codification; and Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Police Department**

The Mayor read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. Coment mentioned that there were some changes made to the original Ordinance that he sent out clarifying the use of medical use and the drop program.

Mrs. Turner made a motion to approve the Ordinance for a public hearing on December 4, 2012. Mr. Winger seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

- D) An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, comprehensively amending Chapter 73, Article I, Flood Damage Prevention of the Vero Beach Code of Ordinances; Providing for Adoption of Flood Hazard Maps, Designation of a Floodplain Administrator and Adoption of Procedures and Criteria for Development in Flood Hazard Areas; Providing for Conflict and Severability; and Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Planning and Development Director**

The Mayor read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. McGarry reported that this Ordinance is based on the Florida Model Floodplain Management Ordinance prepared by the Florida Department of Emergency Management (DEM). The 2010 Florida Building Code (FBC) went into effect on March 12, 2012 and incorporated numerous flood resistant provisions that apply to buildings and structures in flood hazard areas. Flood provisions were excluded from previous editions of the Florida Building Code. Therefore, local floodplain regulations regarding buildings and structures that meet the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program have been incorporated into the FBC. The model Ordinance incorporates regulations regarding development and other buildings and structures within flood hazard areas not covered by the FBC. The companion Ordinance to this Ordinance (item 4-E) will enact amendments to the FBC and these amendments are necessary to coordinate the City's floodplain

regulations with the FBC and to incorporate the City's "freeboard" standard of 1.5 feet in "V" zones. Mr. McGarry said that the floodplain management Ordinance will not change regulatory requirements for most buildings within flood hazard areas of the City, but it will provide more guidance on development not regulated by the FBC. The Ordinance will have little impact on the day to day administration of the City's floodplain regulations. He said that the Planning and Zoning Board and staff recommend approval of the Ordinance.

Mrs. Carroll asked if City owned properties will be impacted such as the Museum and Riverside Theater. Mr. McGarry said that most properties are fine, and this includes the Museum and Riverside Theater. He will be looking at the other City owned properties to see where they fall.

Mrs. Turner made a motion to hold the public hearing for this Ordinance on December 4, 2012. Mr. Kramer seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

- E) An Ordinance of the City of Vero Beach, Florida, amending Section 22-181, "Standard Technical Codes," of the City of Vero Beach Code; Providing for Adoption of Local Administrative Amendments to Chapter 1 of the Building Officials Association of Florida Model Administrative Code and Adoption of Local Technical Amendments to the Florida Building Code required for implementation of the National Flood Insurance Program; Providing for Conflict and Severability; Providing for an Effective Date. – Requested by the Planning and Development Director**

The Mayor read the Ordinance by title only.

Mr. McGarry reported that this Ordinance amends Chapter 1 of the Building Officials Association of Florida Model Administrative Code and sections of the Florida Building Code required for implementation of the National Flood Insurance Program and coordination of the floodplain regulations with the FBC. The amendments are based on model language and guidance provided by the Florida Department of Emergency Management. It is the companion Ordinance to the Ordinance comprehensively amending Chapter 73, Article I (Floodplain Regulations) of the City Code. The Ordinance has been approved by the Florida Department of Emergency Management. Staff recommends approval of the Ordinance.

Mrs. Carroll made a motion to set the public hearing for the Ordinance on December 4, 2012. Mr. Kramer seconded the motion and it passed 5-0 with Mr. Winger voting yes, Mr. Kramer yes, Mrs. Turner yes, Mrs. Carroll yes, and Mayor Fletcher yes.

## **6. CITY CLERK'S MATTERS**

- A) Mr. Anthony Prisciandaro is requesting a reduction in a Code Enforcement lien.**

Mrs. Michele Prisciandaro stated that her company purchased this property and cleaned it up within a week after they purchased it. They have investors who are interested in the property and the neighbors are happy that the property has been cleaned up. The neighbors feel by having the property cleaned up it will bring value back to the neighborhood and they (the neighbors) will give statements to that effect if necessary.

Mrs. Turner asked Mrs. Prisciandaro what the value of the property was when she purchased it.

Mrs. Prisciandaro said that it was around \$15,000.

Mrs. Carroll asked Mrs. Prisciandaro if she was aware of both the tax lien and the Code Enforcement lien being attached to the property when she purchased it.

Mrs. Prisciandaro stated that she was aware of the tax lien and has paid it off. As far as the Code Enforcement lien goes, they went into this with good faith that if they came in and cleaned up the property that the lien might be able to be dissolved.

Mrs. Carroll asked Mrs. Prisciandaro if there were any recommendations from anyone at the City (staff) indicating that they might be able to get this fine reduced.

Mrs. Prisciandaro explained that she has worked with other counties in having fines reduced and would like to work with this County. She said that this is the first piece of property that they have purchased in Indian River County and in the City of Vero Beach.

Mrs. Carroll asked if Indian River County reduced the tax lien by any percentage because Mrs. Prisciandaro purchased the property.

Mrs. Prisciandaro answered no.

Mrs. Carroll commented that the City also has a lien on the property and Mrs. Prisciandaro was aware of that when she purchased the property. She was not in favor of reducing the fine. She said as a business owner, Mrs. Prisciandaro realized that there were liens on the property and was hoping that the Council would remove or reduce the fine and she (Mrs. Carroll) does not feel that is a method that this Council has discussed when other individuals have come forward asking for reductions. She said that they either decide as a Council to send a message that liens are a bill to pay or decide that liens are not. She felt that the Council needed to make a decision on how they choose to go forward. She said if they allow owners not to pay their total bills that it sends a poor decision. It is a discussion that this Council needs to have.

Mrs. Prisciandaro explained that the reason they were coming to Council this morning and asking for this relief was because this occurred by a prior property owner. She asked Council to consider the fact that she came in as a new property owner and cleaned up the property and paid the property taxes.

Mr. Coment recalled that he sent Council a memo concerning filing a nuisance abatement lien. He said on July 16, 2012 the property was cleaned up by the City and there is an outstanding bill of \$1,414.76. He would suggest if the Council is going to consider a reduction of the Code Enforcement liens that the City needs to recover their out of pocket costs for that cleanup that occurred back in July.

Mr. Winger asked if what he hears is that they are owed \$10,000 for a Code Enforcement lien. He suggested that the City recover all of their out of pocket costs and for the penalties that they recover 50% of those costs.

Mrs. Prisciandaro added that she purchases these properties with good faith to keep a city beautiful. After they have cleaned up the property and paid the tax lien then they are able to put the property back on the market.

Mr. Kramer commented that they are seeing a lot of people coming before them asking for relief from these Code Enforcement liens. He thought that maybe Council needs to come up with a policy decision in regards to reducing these fines.

Mayor Fletcher agreed and asked the Clerk to put that as an item of discussion on their next Council agenda. He agreed with Mr. Winger's suggestion in handling this case today.

Mrs. Carroll went over the total costs owed. She said that for true costs they are looking at \$1,600 and then if they did 50% of the civil penalties they would be looking at an additional \$5,050, so they are looking at \$6,650. Mr. Coment mentioned that there were still recording costs and preparation of the release, which would be another \$100. Mrs. Carroll said that they were looking at \$6,750 that is owed.

Mayor Fletcher made a motion cut this to 50% of the civil penalties, but add on to that to recover their costs for the other penalties and whatever it costs the Attorney's office to do the releases. Mr. Winger seconded the motion and it passed 3-2 with Mrs. Carroll and Mr. Kramer voting no.

## **B) Committee Appointments 2012-2013**

Mrs. Vock provided Council with a copy of the Committee Appointments for 2012-2013.

Mrs. Carroll commented that they discussed earlier that it may be helpful to designate individual Councilmembers to be liaisons to their various Boards and Commissions. She said it is rare, other than their Finance and Utilities Commission, that the Chairman of these Commissions makes regular appearances at their meetings. She said that it was important to her that they have regular updates on what is going on with the various Boards and Commissions. She said they may want to consider having a workshop to delineate representatives to be their liaison to these Commissions. She also felt that there were other appointments that Council has added throughout the year that need to be

added to this list. They include the County Executive Roundtable, having a Representative on FMPA, a Liaison to Downtown Mainstreet, a Liaison to the Cultural Arts and a Liaison to the Chamber of Commerce. She asked that these Committees be added to the list.

Mayor Fletcher asked Mrs. Carroll to bring this back at their next meeting and they can assign a Councilmember to each one of these Committees.

Mrs. Carroll said rather than assign she would like to see Council volunteer to serve on the Committees. She also wanted to see an equivalent number of representation on these different Committees.

The Council went through the list of Committees and went over who serves on the Committees now and if they would like to remain serving on the Committee. The only changes were that Mr. Kramer will now serve on the Enterprise Zone Development Agency and Mr. Winger asked that another Committee be added to the list, which is the Railroad Coordinator Committee and he will continue to serve on that Committee.

### **C) December and January Council Meetings**

Council agreed to hold their regular meeting in December on December 4<sup>th</sup> and cancel their December 18<sup>th</sup> Council meeting. In January they will hold their meetings on January 8<sup>th</sup> and January 22<sup>nd</sup>.

Mrs. Carroll suggested holding the second Tuesday of the month in December open for a workshop if this Council would choose to discuss some of their goals and plans for this year. They are aware that the City voters want them to continue the movement down the road with FPL. However, there are many other issues of importance to the City and they may need to have a workshop to delineate their goals for the next year, which could be very beneficial. She suggested having a workshop on December 11<sup>th</sup>.

Mayor Fletcher asked Mrs. Carroll to put an agenda together for the December 11<sup>th</sup> meeting and present it to Council at their December 4<sup>th</sup> meeting.

Mrs. Carroll said that she would do that and asked the other Councilmembers to bring forward some items that they would like to discuss at that meeting.

Mr. Scott Stradley mentioned that he serves on the Economic Development Council (EDC) with Mr. Kramer. He noticed that some of the other cities on the EDC have designated a proxy for the time when a Councilmember cannot be present. He suggested that the Council consider giving Mr. O'Connor, Mr. Kramer's proxy for the meetings that he cannot attend. This would enable that the City has a voting representative at the EDC at all times.

Council agreed to do that if Mr. O'Connor would be willing to serve. Mr. O'Connor said that he would.

Mr. Kramer said that they would just need to check the by-laws for that Committee to make sure that it can be done.

## **7. CITY MANAGER'S MATTERS**

Mr. O'Connor brought up the Beach and Shores Committee and said that the cost of sand is something that the Council is curious about. He does not have a figure on that yet. He said that it will be in excess of \$100,000. He said that they could go before the Tourist Development Council (TDC) and ask for relief like they did before. However, he was not sure if the TDC still has this as a line item in their budget or not (Dune Restoration).

Mrs. Carroll recalled that when she and Mr. O'Connor brought that forward last year to the TDC they (TDC) were rebuffed that they did not go to the Beach and Shores Committee first. She suggested that they take this to both Committees.

Mr. O'Connor said that he would get the information to Mr. Winger who is their representative on the Beach and Shores Committee.

Mayor Fletcher commented that for the last two (2) times the City has blatantly been displaced in having sand put on the beach.

Mrs. Carroll agreed and added that especially considering at the County's own determination of that fund is specifically more emergency repairs to the beaches. What occurred from the storm last year and what occurred even worse this year with the amount of sand that eroded from the boardwalk and they are concerned about the road itself deteriorating. She said to continually being rebuffed for the use of funds to be paid for by the entire County for these types of emergency projects is sad.

Mr. O'Connor commented that they also had damage at Humiston this year and not just at Conn Beach.

Mr. Kramer recalled in the Charter they do have restrictions on sand pumping on their beaches, however with the hotel tax that comes in are there any restrictions on that money for dune restoration.

Mr. Winger explained that this money goes to the County and it is split into three (3) pieces. He said that one (1) piece goes to dune restoration, one (1) piece goes to the Dodgertown (Sports Village) and one (1) piece goes to the Chamber of Commerce. He said that the County controls the money. He agreed that the City should be getting money for the dune restoration and the money is 58% given by the bed tax for the City.

Mrs. Turner asked if they were required to be a part of the TDC. She wondered if the City could take their own tourist tax funds.

Mr. Coment explained that was not possible because it is a County tax.

Mr. O'Connor mentioned that last year when he and Mrs. Carroll went before the TDC they had a \$250,000 line item for dune restoration. So he attached their money request to that and in the meeting the TDC changed the item and said that it really was not intended for dunes. They took the money out of that line item and put it under some other title.

Mr. Fletcher asked Mr. Coment if they have any legal recourse. Mr. Coment answered no.

Mrs. Carroll had a couple of other items to bring up under City Manager's Matters. She received a letter from a seasonal resident who explained during the storm Sandy, he was up north and he had an alert system at his home where the residents received daily updates on what was going on concerning the cleanup efforts, electricity coming back on, etc. She wondered if this has been looked at for their City. She said that this could be a way of letting their residents know about things happening in the City.

Mr. O'Connor reported that he has found a local vendor who is linked with the Sherriff's Department and they are planning on tying in with them. This will allow them to send out emails and notifications through the Police Department giving alerts to their citizens. This also provides for protection of the email addresses for their citizens. He said that they are in the preliminary stages of researching this.

Mrs. Carroll wondered if they could put this together with a phone system as well because there are residents who don't have email capabilities.

Mrs. Carroll then brought up a letter that they received from a citizen referring to Riverside Park and asking if the perimeter circle could be black topped to be more ADA accessible. She understands that with the hard packed sand out there they do meet the ADA requirements.

Mr. O'Connor stated that when they received the letter he looked into getting some cost estimates for doing the work. There were two issues. The first issue was that it is about \$230,000 to do and the second issue is if it was done that they would have some problems because of the roots from the huge trees located there. He said at this time they don't have the money to fund this project.

Mrs. Turner added that there probably would also be some drainage issues.

Mrs. Carroll just wanted this individual in the community to know that their letter was brought up and discussed.

Mrs. Turner said that she also followed up with the letter and responded to the citizen in writing.

Mr. Winger said he had some questions for Mr. O'Connor. He said regarding the CROD that they didn't file by October 1<sup>st</sup> to all the cities involved, has that been accomplished now by their Transactional attorneys. Mr. O'Connor answered yes. Mr. Winger then

recalled that Rocky Joyner was working on some possible solutions on what they might use the proceeds from the electric utilities on. He wondered when they would receive that information. Mr. O'Connor reported that it would probably be another four (4) weeks. Mr. Winger knew that staff was working on a rate sufficiency study and wondered if they were on schedule to do that. He was concerned that they tend to run the Electric Department on a cash basis and he wondered if it should be run on an accrual basis. Mr. O'Connor said that the Electric Department could be run on the accrual basis. He said what they do is try to monitor the cash flow in paying the bills and also keep a close eye on the power through their contracts and what the costs of power is. He mentioned that the volume of sales that they have has stayed very consistent over the last year. He hopes to have all this put together by the January Council meeting. Mr. Winger asked Mr. O'Connor when they could expect to see the first draft of the purchase and sales agreement for FPL. Mr. O'Connor hoped to have it to Council in the first quarter of 2013 (January, February or March).

## **8. CITY ATTORNEY'S MATTERS**

None

## **9. CITY COUNCIL MATTERS**

### **A. Old Business**

#### **1. A vote to direct the City Attorney to complete the attached draft Ordinance and bring it back for first reading. – Requested by Councilmember Richard Winger**

Mr. Winger stated that what he was concerned with was if they should have a purchase and sales agreement in January or February, what probably will happen is they will tend to move forward with that agreement without dealing with the issue of having a referendum. He passed out wording for a motion that he would like to have passed. The wording states "A motion of City Council to instruct the Transactional Attorney to include within the purchase and sales agreement a stipulation that such agreement (may) be conditional on the results of a referendum. Such referendum is to be a simple yes or no vote." The wording for the referendum would read as follows "Do you approve of selling the City of Vero Beach Electric Utility to FP&L in accordance with the terms of the Asset Purchase and Sales Agreement between FPL and the City of Vero Beach dated \_\_\_\_\_, 2013?" Mr. Winger felt that the important word in the motion was "may," which they could include or not include. He stated that if they have an agreement and there is no possibility of a referendum he would vote no to the agreement. He made a motion to instruct the Transactional Attorney to allow for such wording in the purchase and sales agreement. Mr. Kramer seconded the motion.

Mr. Scott Stradley suggested to Council that they have the Utilities Commission do an analyses and detailed look at this. They can focus for several hours on these issues and

would do it at their January meeting and then come back to Council with their recommendations.

Mrs. Carroll stated that she has always said that she wanted to have the volunteers who are serving on their Boards and Commission to give Council their recommendations prior to Council taking a vote. She added a modification to the motion on the floor that this be tabled until they hear back from their Utilities Commission. Mrs. Turner seconded the motion.

Mr. Winger did not feel that this was a question for the Utilities Commission. What he is asking for here is give instructions to the Transactional Attorney about the possibility of having a referendum. He was not asking for a vote today on having a referendum.

Mrs. Turner took exception to the motion presented by Mr. Winger because what it is doing is trying to encumber the purchase agreement with a referendum question. She said that they are two different issues. She believes that they can have further discussion on the referendum, but she objects to having it encumbered in the purchase and sales agreement to FPL that they have not even seen yet.

Mr. Winger explained that he put the word “may” in the motion because what he was fearful of is that they will receive an agreement in January and there will be a push to sign the agreement without properly reviewing it and then they will be told as happened with the OUC contract that it is “too late.”

Mr. Mark Mucher commented that the last referendum that they had came back 2-1 in favor of leasing the land. He said why would the City lease the land if they are going to sell it. The Election results this time came back 2-1 in favor of candidates who were in favor of selling the utilities. He said before committing to a referendum that they remember that a referendum is binding and for that reason he said if they really wanted to know what the feelings of the public are then put a survey in with the electric bills.

Mrs. Carroll disagreed with Mr. Winger’s assertion that the voters in their community voted specifically on one issue (whether or not the individuals now sitting on this dais were placed here because they voted yes or no on the referendum). She said that those elected were voted in on what they stood for in the past and what they continue to represent themselves for going forward in the future. She agreed with utilizing their Commission and Boards as she has said over and over. She felt that the idea of moving this to their Utilities Commission is a great idea. Her concept of doing a straw poll would be more beneficial and give Council a greater idea of the different category of ratepayers that they have. She noted that the latest census shows that 20% of their population is under the age of 18. There are approximately 9,608 residential meters within the City and they have 10,200 voters. So if they do a straw vote based on the number of meters that they have they will receive a more definitive vote. Mrs. Carroll mentioned one other thing that they have to take into consideration is the bonds that have been placed on their electrical system based on the money that has been borrowed for repairs over many years. Mayor Fletcher has cautioned them on the repairs that have to be made at the Power Plant

in the coming years. The bonds are held by all of the ratepayers. She said if they go back just to a referendum of the voters it would not be fair to all who are concerned and the ratepayers would be a more accurate determination for everyone. She again suggested if they wanted to know how the people who are impacted from the sale feel about it that they look at doing a straw poll.

Mayor Fletcher asked the Clerk to have this item placed on the Utilities Commission agenda for discussion.

Mr. Kramer believed that somewhat the Utilities Commission has been politicized. He said that it may be a good thing to take a vote on the issue right now and save their time.

Mayor Fletcher asked if Council was interested in taking action at this meeting on the straw poll issue. He personally would like to see it done.

Mr. Winger stated that he would be willing to take up this issue at their December 4<sup>th</sup> meeting. He did feel that one of the problems that they are going to have is that there will be a petition drive and what he was trying to do was head it off. He has no problem moving the whole issue to their next meeting.

The motion to table this matter and move it to the Utilities Commission passed on a 3-2 vote with Mr. Winger and Mr. Kramer voting no.

Mayor Fletcher asked the Clerk to place the discussion of having a straw poll sent out on their next Council agenda.

Mr. Mark Mucher mentioned that one thing about the petition issue that Council should consider is that they tried to have a petition done a couple years ago and it was difficult to get 1,000 signatures in a short time frame. He encouraged Council before there is any opportunity for a petition drive or publically supported lawsuit to try and get some of the correct information to the public that hopefully they will believe and won't sign these petitions.

**B. New Business**

**10. INDIVIDUAL COUNCILMEMBERS' MATTERS**

**A. Mayor Craig Fletcher's Matters**

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mayor Fletcher reported that he attended the 75<sup>th</sup> Anniversary for Piper and presented a proclamation to the Vietnam Veterans Association. He also attended the Veterans Day event at Memorial Island.

**B. Vice Mayor Tracy Carroll's Matters**

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mrs. Carroll reported that she met with the new County Commissioner Tim Zorc and she will be working with him on some environmental issues and setting up a Countywide symposium to determine what has happened to the seagrass beds and the next steps to take.

Mrs. Carroll briefly went through the Economic Study that they received from Vero Sports Village.

**C. Councilmember Pilar Turner's Matters**

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mrs. Turner recognized their Finance Director who recently received a Financial Certificate of Achievement for excellent recording. She mentioned that some of the resorts located in Vero Beach were on the list for the top 40 resorts in the State of Florida. She challenged the Council to take part in the Salvation Army bell ringing on December 1<sup>st</sup>. She attended the City United Way kick off meeting. She complimented staff on the amazing job they did with their annual Halloween Parade. She thanked everyone that participated in the walk for Safe Space. She also thanked Piper for sponsoring their Chili cookoff and reported that they received second place. She reminded the public that the annual Christmas Tree Lighting and Christmas Boat Parade will take place on December 7<sup>th</sup>.

**D. Councilmember Jay Kramer's Matters**

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mr. Kramer reported that he attended the 75<sup>th</sup> Anniversary for Piper.

**E. Councilmember Dick Winger's Matters**

- 1. Correspondence**
- 2. Committee Reports**
- 3. Comments**

Mr. Winger reported that he also attended the 75<sup>th</sup> Anniversary for Piper. He will be attending the Florida League of Cities Legislative Day in Orlando next week and hoped to see everyone at the open house for Youth Sailing on November 17<sup>th</sup>.

Mrs. Carroll added that the Oceanside Business Association Christmas Parade will be held on December 1<sup>st</sup>.

**11. ADJOURNMENT**

Today's meeting adjourned at 12:44 p.m.

/tv